



---

## NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

---

To the Shareholders of Cree, Inc.:

The 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Cree, Inc. will be held at the offices of the corporation at 4425 Silicon Drive, Durham, North Carolina 27703, on Tuesday, October 26, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. local time, to consider and vote upon the following matters and to transact such other business as may be properly brought before the meeting:

- Proposal No. 1—Election of eight directors
- Proposal No. 2—Approval of an amendment to the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan to increase the number of shares authorized for issuance under the plan
- Proposal No. 3—Approval of an amendment to the Bylaws to set the size of the Board of Directors at not less than five nor more than nine members, with the number within that range to be determined by the Board of Directors from time to time
- Proposal No. 4—Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 26, 2011

All shareholders are invited to attend the meeting in person. Only shareholders of record at the close of business on August 30, 2010 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting.

By order of the Board of Directors,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Adam H. Broome".

Adam H. Broome  
*Secretary*

Durham, North Carolina  
September 3, 2010

**PLEASE NOTE:**

We are primarily providing access to our proxy materials over the Internet pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission's "notice and access" rules. Beginning on or about September 13, 2010, we expect to mail to our shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials which will indicate how to access our 2010 Proxy Statement and 2010 Annual Report on the Internet. The Notice also includes instructions on how you can receive a paper copy of your annual meeting materials, including the notice of annual meeting, proxy statement and proxy card.

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting in person, please submit voting instructions for your shares promptly using the directions on your Notice or, if you elected to receive printed proxy materials by mail, your proxy card to vote by one of the following methods: (1) by telephone, by calling the toll-free telephone number available on the Internet voting site; (2) over the Internet, by accessing the website address printed on your Notice; or (3) if you elected to receive printed proxy materials by mail, by marking, dating and signing your proxy card and returning it in the accompanying postage-paid envelope.



*Table of Contents*

PROXY STATEMENT:	
MEETING INFORMATION .....	1
VOTING PROCEDURES .....	1
Who Can Vote .....	2
How You Can Vote .....	2
How You Can Revoke Your Proxy and Change Your Vote .....	2
How Your Proxy Will Be Voted .....	2
How You Can Vote Shares Held by a Broker or Other Nominee .....	2
Quorum Required .....	3
Vote Required .....	3
PROPOSAL NO. 1—ELECTION OF DIRECTORS .....	4
Nominees for Election as Directors .....	4
Director Not Standing for Re-election .....	9
Executive Officers .....	9
Code of Ethics .....	9
Board Composition and Independence of Directors .....	9
The Leadership Structure of the Board of Directors .....	10
Board’s Role in Risk Oversight .....	11
Compensation Program Risk Assessment .....	11
Attendance at Meetings .....	12
Standing Committees .....	12
Certain Transactions and Legal Proceedings .....	14
Review and Approval of Related Person Transactions .....	15
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance .....	15
PROPOSAL NO. 2—APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO 2004 LONG-TERM INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN .....	16
General .....	16
Description of LTIP .....	16
Federal Income Tax Consequences .....	21
Plan Awards .....	23
Equity Compensation Plans .....	24
OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES .....	26
Principal Shareholders and Share Ownership by Management .....	26
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION .....	28
Compensation Discussion and Analysis .....	28
Compensation Committee Report .....	37
Summary of Cash and Certain Other Compensation .....	38
Grants of Equity and Non-Equity Incentive Awards .....	39
Outstanding Equity Awards .....	40
Stock Option Exercises and Vesting of Restricted Stock .....	41
Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control .....	41
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION .....	51
Summary of Cash and Certain Other Compensation .....	51
Summary of Director Compensation Program .....	52
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation .....	52
PROPOSAL NO. 3—APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO THE BYLAWS .....	53
PROPOSAL NO. 4—RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS .....	54
Report of the Audit Committee .....	54
Independent Auditor Fee Information .....	55
OTHER MATTERS .....	56
Other Business .....	56
2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders .....	56

Procedures for Director Nominations .....	56
Shareholder Communications with Directors .....	57
Costs of Soliciting Proxies .....	57
Availability of Report on Form 10-K .....	57
Shareholders Sharing the Same Last Name and Address .....	57
Principal Executive Offices and Annual Meeting Location .....	58
APPENDIX A: RADFORD EXECUTIVE SURVEY PARTICIPANTS (\$300M-\$700M REVENUE) .....	A-1
APPENDIX B: RADFORD EXECUTIVE SURVEY PARTICIPANTS (SEMICONDUCTOR WITH <\$200M REVENUE) .....	B-1
APPENDIX C: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO 2004 LONG-TERM INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN .....	C-1

# CREE, INC.

---

## PROXY STATEMENT

---

### MEETING INFORMATION

The Board of Directors of Cree, Inc., or the Company, is asking for your proxy for use at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and any adjournments of the meeting. The meeting will be held at our offices at 4425 Silicon Drive, Durham, North Carolina 27703, on Tuesday, October 26, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. local time, to conduct the following business and such other business as may be properly brought before the meeting: (1) election of eight directors; (2) approval of an amendment to the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan, or the LTIP, to increase the number of shares authorized for issuance under the plan; (3) approval of an amendment to the Company's Bylaws to set the size of the Board of Directors at not less than five nor more than nine members, with the number within that range to be determined by the Board of Directors from time to time; and (4) ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 26, 2011.

**The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the election of the director nominees listed in this proxy statement, FOR approval of the amendment to the LTIP, FOR approval of the amendment to the Bylaws and FOR ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 26, 2011.**

Beginning on or about September 13, 2010, proxy materials for the annual meeting, including this proxy statement and our 2010 Annual Report, are being made available to shareholders entitled to vote at the annual meeting. The annual report is not part of our proxy soliciting materials.

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials  
For the Shareholder Meeting to Be Held on October 26, 2010:**

**The annual report and proxy statement will be available on the Internet at  
*[www.cree.com/annualmeeting](http://www.cree.com/annualmeeting)*.**

Pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission's "Notice and Access" rules, we are furnishing proxy materials to our shareholders primarily via the Internet. Beginning on or about September 13, 2010, we intend to mail to our shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, or Notice, containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials on the Internet, including our proxy statement and our annual report. The Notice also instructs you on how you can vote using the Internet and how you can access the Internet voting site, which will contain instructions on how you can vote by telephone. Other shareholders, in accordance with their prior requests, have received e-mail notification of how to access our proxy materials and vote via the Internet, or have been mailed paper copies of our proxy materials and a proxy card or voting form.

Internet distribution of our proxy materials is designed to expedite receipt by shareholders, lower the cost of the annual meeting, and conserve natural resources. However, if you would prefer to receive printed proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice. If you have previously elected to receive our proxy materials electronically, you will continue to receive these materials via e-mail unless you elect otherwise.

## VOTING PROCEDURES

### Who Can Vote

Only shareholders of record of the Company at the close of business on August 30, 2010 are entitled to vote at the meeting and any adjournments of the meeting. At that time, there were 108,082,238 shares of the Company's common stock outstanding, each of which is entitled to one vote on each matter submitted to a vote at the meeting.

### How You Can Vote

You may vote shares by proxy or in person using one of the following methods:

- *Voting by Telephone.* You can vote by following the directions on your Notice to access the Internet voting site and by calling the toll-free telephone number available on the site, or if you requested printed proxy materials, by calling the toll-free number printed on your proxy card. The deadline for voting by telephone is Monday, October 25, 2010, at 11:59 p.m. Eastern time.
- *Voting by Internet.* You can vote over the Internet by following the directions on your Notice to access the website address printed on the Notice. The deadline for voting over the Internet is Monday, October 25, 2010 at 11:59 p.m. Eastern time.
- *Voting by Mail.* If you requested printed proxy materials, you can vote by completing and returning your signed proxy card. To vote using your proxy card, please mark, date and sign the card and return it by mail in the accompanying postage-paid envelope. You should mail your signed proxy card sufficiently in advance for it to be received by Monday, October 25, 2010.
- *Voting in Person.* You can vote in person at the meeting if you are the record owner of the shares to be voted. You can also vote in person at the meeting if you present a properly signed proxy that authorizes you to vote shares on behalf of the record owner. If a broker, bank, custodian or other nominee holds your shares, to vote in person at the meeting you must present a letter or other proxy appointment, signed on behalf of the broker or nominee, granting you authority to vote the shares.

### How You Can Revoke Your Proxy and Change Your Vote

You can revoke your proxy and change your vote by (1) attending the meeting and voting in person, (2) delivering written notice of revocation of your proxy to the Secretary at any time before voting is closed, (3) timely submitting new voting instructions by telephone or over the Internet as described above or (4) if you requested printed proxy materials, timely submitting a signed proxy card bearing a later date.

### How Your Proxy Will Be Voted

If you timely submit your proxy by telephone, over the Internet or by proxy card as described above and have not revoked it, your shares will be voted or withheld from voting in accordance with the voting instructions you gave. If you timely submit your proxy without giving contrary voting instructions, your shares will be voted "FOR" election of the director nominees listed in this proxy statement, "FOR" approval of the amendment to the LTIP, "FOR" approval of the amendment to the Bylaws and "FOR" ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 26, 2011.

### How You Can Vote Shares Held by a Broker or Other Nominee

If a broker, bank, custodian or other nominee holds your shares, you may have received a notice or voting instruction form from them. Please follow the directions that your broker, bank, custodian or other nominee provides or contact the firm to determine the voting methods available to you. There is an important change this

year related to a broker's ability to vote when it does not receive voting instructions from the beneficial owner of shares. Brokers are no longer permitted to vote in the election of directors if the broker has not received instructions from the beneficial owner of shares. It is particularly important, if you are a beneficial owner, that you instruct your broker how you wish to vote your shares.

### **Quorum Required**

A quorum must be present at the meeting before business can be conducted. A quorum will be present if a majority of the shares entitled to vote are represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. Shares represented by a proxy with instructions to withhold authority to vote or to abstain from voting on any matter will be considered present for purposes of determining the existence of a quorum. Shares represented by a proxy as to which a broker, bank, custodian or other nominee has indicated that it does not have discretionary authority to vote on any matter (sometimes referred to as "broker non-votes") will also be considered present for purposes of determining the existence of a quorum.

### **Vote Required**

Directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast. Thus the nominees who receive the most votes will be elected to fill the available positions. Shareholders do not have the right to vote cumulatively in electing directors. Withholding authority in your proxy to vote for a nominee will result in the nominee receiving fewer votes.

The proposed amendment to the LTIP, the proposed amendment to the Bylaws and ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors for fiscal 2011 will be approved if the votes cast for approval exceed the votes cast against approval.

Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted for purposes of determining whether these proposals have received sufficient votes for approval.

## PROPOSAL NO. 1 — ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

### Nominees for Election as Directors

Seven of the persons nominated for election to the Board of Directors at the annual meeting are currently serving as directors of the Company. John W. Palmour, Ph.D., one of the Company’s founders who has served on the Board of Directors since 1995, is not standing for re-election. Upon the recommendation of the Governance and Nominations Committee, the Board of Directors has nominated Robert L. Tillman, who has not previously been associated with the Company, for election as director. The Company is not aware of any nominee who will be unable or will decline to serve as a director. If a nominee becomes unable or declines to serve, the accompanying proxy may be voted for a substitute nominee, if any, designated by the Board of Directors. The term of office of each person elected as a director will continue until the later of the next annual meeting of shareholders or until such time as his successor has been duly elected and qualified.

The following table lists the nominees for election and information about each.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Principal Occupation and Background</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
Charles M. Swoboda	43	<p>Mr. Swoboda has served as the Company’s Chief Executive Officer since June 2001, as President since January 1999, as a member of the Board of Directors since October 2000 and as chairman since April 2005. He was Chief Operating Officer of the Company from 1997 to June 2001 and Vice President for Operations from 1997 to 1999. Prior to his appointment as Vice President for Operations, Mr. Swoboda served as Operations Manager from 1996 to 1997, as General Manager of the Company’s former subsidiary, Real Color Displays, Incorporated, from 1994 to 1996 and as LED Product Manager from 1993 to 1994. He was previously employed by Hewlett-Packard Company.</p> <p>Mr. Swoboda’s employment with the Company for the past 17 years in diverse roles, his leadership as the Company’s Chief Executive Officer for more than nine years and his service on the Board of Directors for a decade, including his service as Chairman of the Board for the past five years, uniquely qualify him for election to the Board of Directors. He brings to the Board a critical perspective and understanding of the Company’s business strategy, and he is enabled by his experience and position as Chief Executive Officer to provide the Board valuable insight into the management and operations of the Company.</p>	2000



<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Principal Occupation and Background</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
Dolph W. von Arx	76	<p>Mr. von Arx has been a member of the Board of Directors since October 1991 and has served as Lead Independent Director since April 2005. He is the former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Planters Lifesavers Company, an affiliate of RJR Nabisco, Inc. Since his retirement from Planters Lifesavers Company in 1991, Mr. von Arx served as non-executive Chairman of Morrison Restaurants Inc., a publicly held family dining business, from 1996 to 1998 and is currently a director of Sanibel Captiva Trust Company and Juice Technologies LLC.</p> <p>Mr. von Arx has nearly 20 years of service on the Company's Board of Directors and at various times has served as Chairman of the Executive Committee (which has been discontinued as a committee), the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and, currently, the Governance and Nominations Committee. He has also served as Lead Independent Director for over five years. Mr. von Arx's long tenure and knowledge of the Company's history and the functioning of the Board enable him to offer valuable insight, judgment and perspectives in support of the Board's oversight role and its other functions. Mr. von Arx also brings a great deal of senior leadership experience to the Board of Directors, having himself served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of two public companies.</p>	1991
Clyde R. Hosein	50	<p>Mr. Hosein has been a member of the Board of Directors since December 2005. Since June 2008, he has served as Chief Financial Officer of Marvell Technology Group Ltd., a publicly traded semiconductor provider of high-performance analog, mixed-signal, digital signal processing and embedded microprocessor integrated circuits, and he also served as its Interim Chief Operating Officer and Secretary from October 2008 to March 2010. From 2003 to 2008, he served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Integrated Device Technology, Inc., a provider of essential mixed-signal semiconductor solutions. From 2001 to 2003, he served as Senior Vice President, Finance and Administration and Chief Financial Officer of Advanced Interconnect Technologies, a semiconductor assembly and test company. He has also held other senior level financial positions, including the role of Chief Financial Officer at Candescant Technologies, a developer of flat panel display technology. Early in his career he spent 14 years in financial and engineering roles at IBM Corporation.</p> <p>Mr. Hosein's qualifications to serve as a director include his service on the Company's Board of Directors and its Audit Committee the past five years, his years of experience as an executive officer in publicly traded companies in the semiconductor industry including his roles in operational management, his substantial experience as a chief financial officer responsible for the finance and accounting functions of publicly traded companies, his qualifications as an audit committee financial expert, and his technical background and significant experience in technology-based companies generally.</p>	2005

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Principal Occupation and Background</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
Robert A. Ingram	67	<p>Mr. Ingram joined the Board of Directors in December 2008. Since January 2010, he has been a General Partner in the firm Hatteras Venture Partners, a venture capital firm that invests in early stage life science companies in the southeast United States, and he has also served as strategic advisor to the chief executive officer of GlaxoSmithKline plc, a publicly traded pharmaceutical research and development company. From 2003 through 2009, he served as Vice Chairman Pharmaceuticals, GlaxoSmithKline. He previously served as Chief Operating Officer and President of Pharmaceutical Operations of GlaxoSmithKline following the December 2000 merger of Glaxo Wellcome plc and SmithKline Beecham plc. Prior to the merger he served as Chief Executive Officer of Glaxo Wellcome plc and as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Glaxo Wellcome Inc. Mr. Ingram also serves on the Boards of Directors of Lowe's Companies, Inc., Allergan, Inc., and Edwards Lifesciences Corporation, and serves as Lead Director of Valeant Pharmaceuticals International. He also served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of OSI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. from January 2003 until its sale in June 2010. He previously served as a director of Misys plc, Nortel Networks Corp. and Wachovia Corp. until 2005, 2006 and 2008, respectively.</p> <p>Mr. Ingram brings to the Company's Board of Directors a wealth of experience as a director who has served in several roles on the boards of major publicly traded companies. He also provides the perspective of a former chief executive officer with substantial leadership experience in the life sciences sector along with insights on operational and other matters relevant to business generally and the semiconductor business in particular, such as research and development and intellectual property. In addition, Mr. Ingram brings to the Board the views and judgment of a leader who is highly respected both locally and internationally for his business expertise and acumen.</p>	2008

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Principal Occupation and Background</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
Franco Plastina	47	<p>Mr. Plastina joined the Board of Directors in December 2007. Since February 2006, he has served as President and Chief Executive Officer, and as a board member, of Tekelec, a publicly traded provider of telecommunications network systems and software applications. Before joining Tekelec, from September 2005 through February 2006, Mr. Plastina served as Executive in Residence at Warburg Pincus LLC, a private equity firm, where he was responsible for evaluating potential investments and providing executive support to portfolio companies. From 2003 to 2005, he held various executive positions with Proxim Corporation, a provider of Wi-Fi and broadband wireless access products, including Executive Chairman, President and CEO. From 1987 until 2002, Mr. Plastina served in a series of management and executive positions with Nortel Networks Corporation, a multi-national telecommunications equipment provider.</p> <p>Mr. Plastina brings to the Board significant senior executive leadership experience, including experience from his current service as chief executive officer of a publicly traded company as well as over 23 years of experience in various executive roles in the telecommunications and wireless industries. This technology industry experience gives him a valuable perspective in his role as a director. His qualifications to serve as a director also include his service on the Company's Board of Directors and its Audit Committee for the past three years, his private equity investment experience and his qualifications as an accounting committee financial expert.</p>	2007
Robert L. Tillman	66	<p>Mr. Tillman served as a director of Lowe's Companies, Inc. from November 1994 to January 2005 and as its Chairman from January 1998 to January 2005, and served as its President and Chief Executive Officer from August 1996 to January 2005. After his retirement from Lowe's, he served on the Board of Directors of Bank of America Corporation from April 2005 to May 2009, and also served as a member of its Asset Quality and Executive Committees.</p> <p>Mr. Tillman brings substantial leadership experience as a chief executive officer in a substantial, publicly traded company in the retail distribution industry. His knowledge and operational expertise in that environment, particularly with respect to consumer product marketing, and his substantial board experience, qualify him for election to the Company's Board.</p>	N/A

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Principal Occupation and Background</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
Harvey A. Wagner	69	<p>Mr. Wagner has served on the Board of Directors since February 2004. Since April 2008, he has served as President and Chief Executive Officer, and as a board member, of Caregiver Services, Inc., a home care provider. From August 2007 through March 2008, Mr. Wagner served as managing principal of H.A. Wagner Group LLC, an investment and consulting firm. Mr. Wagner previously served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Quovadx, Inc., a global software and services company, from October 2004 to July 2007, as its Acting President and Chief Executive Officer from May 2004 to October 2004, and as a member of its Board of Directors from April 2004 to July 2007. From 1989 to 1994 he served as CFO at Computervision Corporation and from 1994 to 1998 at Scientific-Atlanta, Inc. From 1998 until joining Quovadx in 2004, he held CFO positions with Premiere Technologies, Inc., PaySys International, Inc., Optio Software, Inc. and Mirant Corporation. Earlier in his career, he spent 18 years in Silicon Valley where he held senior financial positions with GTE Corporation, Fairchild and American Microsystems. He is currently also a director of FormFactor, Inc. and StarTek, Inc. and served as a director of Quovadx until 2007.</p> <p>Mr. Wagner has served as chief financial officer of six publicly traded companies, giving him extensive experience in and knowledge useful for the oversight of financial management, financial reporting and related functions for public companies and technology companies in particular. In addition to his qualifications as an audit committee financial expert and his six years of experience serving on the Company's Board of Directors and as Chairman of its Audit Committee, he brings to the Board of Directors his leadership experience as a chief executive officer of a publicly traded technology company and his experience acquired in serving on the boards of directors of other publicly traded companies in the technology sector.</p>	2004
Thomas H. Werner	50	<p>Mr. Werner has been a member of the Board of Directors since March 2006. He has served as Chief Executive Officer for SunPower Corporation, a publicly traded manufacturer of high-efficiency solar cells and solar panels, since June 2003, and is also a member of its Board of Directors. Prior to SunPower, he served as Chief Executive Officer of Silicon Light Machines Corporation, an optical solutions subsidiary of Cypress Semiconductor Corporation, from July 2001 to June 2003. Earlier, Mr. Werner was Vice President and General Manager of the Business Connectivity Group of 3Com Corporation, a network solutions company.</p> <p>Mr. Werner's qualifications to serve as a director include his four years of service on the Company's Board of Directors and his three years serving as Chairman of its Compensation Committee. In addition to his technical expertise, he brings to the Board significant executive leadership and operational management experience gained at businesses in the technology sector, and the semiconductor industry in particular, including his experience as a chief executive officer of a publicly traded "green technology" company for the past seven years.</p>	2006

**The Board of Directors recommends shareholders vote FOR election of the nominees named above.**

## Director Not Standing for Re-election

John W. Palmour, Ph.D. 49 Dr. Palmour, one of the Company's founders who has served on the Board of Directors since October 1995 and has served as the Company's Chief Technology Officer—Advanced Devices since September 2008, is not standing for re-election. He previously served as the Company's Director of Advanced Devices from 1995 to September 2008 and as an Executive Vice President from August 2002 to September 2008. As Chief Technology Officer—Advanced Devices, Dr. Palmour is responsible for research associated with the Company's power and radio frequency businesses and also manages the Company's contract research programs. He previously served on the Board of Directors from 1992 to 1993.

## Executive Officers

Mr. Swoboda serves as both an executive officer of the Company and a member of the Board of Directors. John T. Kurtzweil (age 54) and Stephen D. Kelley (age 48) also serve as executive officers of the Company.

Mr. Kurtzweil was appointed as Executive Vice President—Finance and as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Company effective September 29, 2006. Before joining the Company, he served from 2004 to 2006 as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Cirrus Logic, Inc., a publicly traded supplier of analog, mixed-signal and digital processing solutions for audio and industrial product applications, based in Austin, Texas. He previously served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of ON Semiconductor Corporation, a global supplier of power- and data-management semiconductors and standard semiconductor components, and of disk drive component manufacturer Read-Rite Corporation, which sold substantially all of its assets to Western Digital Corp. in 2003. Immediately prior to joining Cirrus Logic, Mr. Kurtzweil had served as interim Chief Financial Officer for Quepasa Corporation, an online company serving the growing U.S. Hispanic community.

Mr. Kelley was appointed as an Executive Vice President and as Chief Operating Officer of the Company effective August 19, 2008. Before joining the Company, he served from 2003 to 2008 as Vice President and General Manager of the Standard Linear and Logic Group of Texas Instruments Incorporated, a publicly traded semiconductor supplier based in Dallas, Texas. Mr. Kelley previously served as Senior Vice President and General Manager of Philips Semiconductors, a division of Royal Philips Electronics N.V., from 2002 to 2003 and held a series of other executive positions with Philips Semiconductors beginning in 1993. He was previously employed by National Semiconductor Corporation, MX-COM, Inc., Fairchild Semiconductor International, Inc. and Motorola Semiconductor (now Freescale, Inc.).

## Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Ethics applicable to our senior financial officers, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer. The full text of our Code of Ethics is published on our website at [www.cree.com](http://www.cree.com). Consistent with Item 5.05 of Form 8-K, we intend to disclose future amendments to, or waivers from, the Code of Ethics on our website within four business days following the date of such amendment or waiver. We will also provide a copy of our Code of Ethics to any person, without charge. All such requests should be in writing and sent to the attention of the Corporate Secretary, Cree, Inc., 4600 Silicon Drive, Durham, NC 27703.

## Board Composition and Independence of Directors

The size of the Board of Directors was fixed at nine members in 1988 by shareholder action pursuant to the Company's Bylaws. Generally only from six to eight persons have served on the Board of Directors at any one time. Only eight persons have been nominated for election at the annual meeting. Under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the accompanying proxy cannot be voted for more than eight nominees.

A majority of the Board of Directors must be comprised of independent directors for the Company to comply with the listing requirements of The Nasdaq Stock Market, or Nasdaq. Currently, the Board of Directors is composed of Messrs. Swoboda, von Arx, Hosein, Ingram, Plastina, Wagner and Werner as well as John W. Palmour, Ph.D., who has decided not to stand for re-election at the annual meeting. The Board of Directors has determined that six of the present directors—Messrs. von Arx, Hosein, Ingram, Plastina, Wagner and Werner—are each an “independent director” within the meaning of the applicable Listing Rules of Nasdaq. All of these directors are standing for re-election. In addition, the Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Tillman, who has been nominated for election as a director, would be an “independent director” within the meaning of the applicable Listing Rules of Nasdaq.

### **The Leadership Structure of the Board of Directors**

The leadership of the Board of Directors includes the Chairman of the Board, the Lead Independent Director, and the Chairman of each of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Governance and Nominations Committee.

The responsibilities of the Chairman of the Board under our Bylaws are to preside at meetings of the Board of Directors and shareholders and to perform such other duties as may be directed by the Board from time to time. The Chairman also has the power to call meetings of the Board of Directors and of the shareholders. Mr. Swoboda, our Chief Executive Officer since 2001, has served as Chairman of the Board since 2005.

Our Lead Independent Director, Mr. von Arx, has served in that capacity since 2005. The Board has adopted corporate governance guidelines that call for the Board to designate a Lead Independent Director any time that the Chairman of the Board is not an independent director. As specified in the governance guidelines, the responsibilities of the Lead Independent Director include the following:

- In the absence of the Chairman, the Lead Independent Director serves as acting Chairman presiding over meetings of the Board of Directors and shareholders.
- The Lead Independent Director convenes and presides over meetings of the independent directors and communicates the results of these sessions where appropriate to the Chairman, other management or the Board. The independent directors meet at regularly scheduled sessions at least four times each year without other directors or members of management present.
- In general, the Lead Independent Director serves as principal liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman and between the independent directors and other management.

The day-to-day work of the Board of Directors is conducted through its three principal standing committees—Audit, Compensation and Governance and Nominations—to which the Board has delegated authority and responsibilities in accordance with the committees’ respective charters. The Chairmen of each of these committees are independent directors appointed by the Board upon the recommendation of the Governance and Nominations Committee. Under our corporate governance guidelines, the Chairman of each committee is responsible for development of the agenda for committee meetings, and each committee must regularly report to the Board of Directors on the discussions and actions of the committee.

The Board of Directors has determined that this leadership structure is appropriate for the Company and best serves the interests of the shareholders under the present circumstances. In particular, the Board has determined that the Company is best served by having Mr. Swoboda hold the position of Chairman of the Board in addition to his role as Chief Executive Officer, with Mr. von Arx serving as Lead Independent Director. This determination is based in part upon the experience, leadership qualities and skills that Mr. Swoboda and Mr. von Arx bring to the Board, as detailed in the section captioned “Nominees for Election as Directors” on page 4. In addition, Mr. Swoboda is the director in the best position to establish the agendas for meetings of the Board and to lead the discussions of the Board regarding strategy, operations and management because he is responsible for the

formulation and day-to-day execution of the strategy and business plans reviewed with the Board. Although the Board believes this structure is appropriate under the present circumstances, the Board has also affirmatively determined not to adopt a policy on whether the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer should be separated or combined because the Board believes that there is no single best blueprint for structuring board leadership and that, as circumstances change, the optimal leadership structure may change.

### **Board's Role in Risk Oversight**

The Board, acting through itself or one or more of its committees, has general oversight responsibility for corporate risk management, including oversight of management's implementation of risk management practices. While the Board is responsible for risk oversight, management is ultimately responsible for assessing and managing our risk exposures. The Board directly oversees management's assessment, mitigation efforts and monitoring of strategic and operational risks, such as those relating to competitive dynamics, market trends and developments in the Company's industry and changes in economic conditions. Senior management regularly updates business plans for each of the company's product lines, including an assessment of strategic and operational risks and responses to identified risks, and members of the Board and senior management meet annually to review these plans. In addition, senior management reports to the Board at each quarterly Board meeting on progress made against these strategic plans, including an update on changes in risk exposure and management's responses to the changes.

The Board also fulfills its risk oversight role through its committees. Specifically, the Audit Committee charter assigns it the responsibility to periodically review with management, the internal auditors, and the independent auditors the Company's significant financial risk exposures, including the Company's policies with respect to risk assessment and Company-wide risk management, and to assess the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures. The Audit Committee regularly discusses material risks and exposures with our independent registered public accounting firm and receives reports from our accounting and internal audit management personnel regarding such risks and exposures and how management has attempted to minimize the exposures. The Audit Committee's primary focus is financial risk, including our internal control over financial reporting. Particular areas of focus of the Audit Committee include risks associated with taxes, liquidity, investments, information technology security, material litigation, and compliance.

Similarly, the Compensation Committee charter assigns it the responsibility to periodically review with management the Company's compensation programs as they relate to risk management practices and risk-taking incentives, including an assessment of whether the Company's compensation policies and practices encourage excessive or inappropriate risk-taking. The Committee also considers risks management as it develops and approves incentive and other compensation programs for our executive officers and it performs risk oversight in the area of management succession.

Each of these committees reports to the Board of Directors with respect to the risk categories it oversees. These ongoing discussions enable the Board to monitor our risk exposure and evaluate our risk mitigation efforts.

### **Compensation Program Risk Assessment**

We have assessed our compensation programs and have concluded that risks arising from our compensation policies and practices are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. The risk assessment process included a review by management of compensation policies and practices, focusing on programs with variable compensation, specifically:

- stock option and restricted stock awards under our LTIP;
- performance unit awards to our executive officers under the LTIP which provide for cash payments based upon achieving annual corporate financial goals;

- awards under our Management Incentive Compensation Program in which most of our senior managers participate (other than the CEO) and may receive payments based upon achieving quarterly or annual corporate financial goals and quarterly individual goals;
- sales commission incentive programs for our sales personnel; and
- our quarterly profit-sharing plan in which all other regular, full-time employees participate and are eligible to receive cash payments as a function of the amount, if any, by which our quarterly operating income exceeds a pre-established target.

Based upon this review, we concluded that our compensation policies and practices do not encourage excessive or inappropriate risk-taking. We believe our programs are appropriately designed to encourage our employees to make decisions that should result in positive short-term and long-term results for our business and our shareholders. Management reviewed the results of this review with the Compensation Committee at a meeting in August 2010, and the Committee concurred with management's assessment.

### **Attendance at Meetings**

The Board of Directors held six meetings during fiscal 2010. Each incumbent director attended or participated in 75% or more of the aggregate of the number of meetings of the Board of Directors held during the period he was a director and the number of meetings of committees on which he served that were held during the period of his service.

The Company expects all directors to attend each annual meeting of shareholders absent good reason. All eight directors serving at that time attended the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

### **Standing Committees**

The standing committees of the Board of Directors include the Audit Committee, the Governance and Nominations Committee and the Compensation Committee. Each of these committees operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, copies of which are available on the Company's website at [www.cree.com](http://www.cree.com). Each committee is composed solely of independent directors. The following is a brief description of the responsibilities of each of the existing standing committees and their composition.

#### *Audit Committee*

The Audit Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors to oversee the accounting and financial reporting processes of the Company and audits of the Company's financial statements. The responsibilities of the Audit Committee include acting on the Board of Directors' behalf in providing oversight with respect to (i) the quality and integrity of the Company's financial statements and internal accounting and financial controls, (ii) all audit, review and attest services relating to the Company's financial statements and internal controls, including the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of the independent auditors engaged to provide audit services to the Company and (iii) the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. In addition, the Audit Committee is charged with conducting appropriate review and oversight of any related person transactions.

The members of the Audit Committee are Messrs. Wagner, Hosein and Plastina. The Board of Directors has determined that all members of the Committee are "independent directors" within the meaning of the applicable Nasdaq Listing Rules, including the special independence requirements applicable to Audit Committee members. Mr. Wagner is Chairman of the Audit Committee and has served in that capacity since 2004. The Board of Directors has determined that each of Mr. Wagner, Mr. Hosein and Mr. Plastina is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in Item 407 of Regulation S-K of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Audit Committee held eight meetings during fiscal 2010. The Audit Committee from time to time also takes action by unanimous written consent in lieu of holding a meeting.



### *Governance and Nominations Committee*

The Governance and Nominations Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors to assist the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities to shareholders by (i) identifying individuals qualified to become directors and recommending that the Board of Directors select the candidates for all directorships to be filled by the Board of Directors or by the shareholders, (ii) upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, determining compensation arrangements for non-employee directors, (iii) developing and recommending to the Board of Directors corporate governance principles for the Company and (iv) otherwise taking a leadership role in shaping the corporate governance of the Company.

The members of the Governance and Nominations Committee are Messrs. von Arx, Hosein, Ingram, Plastina, Wagner and Werner. The Board of Directors has determined that all members of the Committee are “independent directors” within the meaning of the applicable Nasdaq Listing Rules. Mr. von Arx is Chairman of the Governance and Nominations Committee and has served in that capacity since 2004. The Governance and Nominations Committee charter establishes a policy with regard to the consideration of director candidates, including those candidates recommended by shareholders. The Committee will consider written nominations properly submitted by shareholders according to procedures set forth in the Company’s Bylaws. For a description of these procedures and policies regarding nominations see “Procedures for Director Nominations” and “2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders” below. The Governance and Nominations Committee held four meetings during fiscal 2010. The Governance and Nominations Committee from time to time also takes action by unanimous written consent in lieu of holding a meeting.

### *Compensation Committee*

The Compensation Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors to assist the Board of Directors in discharging its overall responsibility relating to executive officer and director compensation and to oversee and report to the Board of Directors as appropriate on the Company’s compensation and benefit policies, programs and plans, including its stock-based compensation programs and employee stock purchase plan. The Compensation Committee approves the compensation of all executive officers, administers the Company’s stock-based compensation programs and recommends compensation for non-employee directors to the Governance and Nominations Committee for approval.

The Compensation Committee generally makes decisions and recommendations regarding annual compensation at its August meeting each year. The Committee solicits the recommendations of the Company’s Chief Executive Officer with respect to the compensation of the Company’s executive officers other than himself and factors these recommendations into the determination of compensation, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. In addition, the Compensation Committee has engaged Radford Consulting, or Radford, an outside global human resources consulting firm, to conduct an annual review of the Company’s compensation program for its executive officers and directors, including a review for fiscal 2010. Radford provided the Committee with relevant market data and recommendations to consider when making compensation decisions with respect to the executive officers and in making recommendations to the Governance and Nominations Committee with respect to the compensation of non-employee directors. Radford also assisted the Committee in updating guidelines for equity awards with respect to executives, other employees and non-employee directors. The Company also engaged Radford and its parent company Aon Consulting for additional services which included administration of the Company’s flexible spending program, under which employees may set aside funds on a pre-tax basis for covered medical expenses not reimbursed by insurance and certain dependent care expenses, supplying published salary surveys and from time-to-time, consulting services for non-executive compensation matters. The fees paid to Radford and Aon Consulting for these additional services did not exceed \$120,000 in fiscal 2010.

The members of the Compensation Committee are Messrs. Werner, von Arx and Ingram. The Board of Directors has determined that all members of the Committee are “independent directors” within the meaning of

the applicable Nasdaq Listing Rules. Mr. Werner is Chairman of the Compensation Committee and has served in that capacity since January 30, 2007. The Compensation Committee held four meetings during fiscal 2010. The Compensation Committee from time to time also takes action by unanimous written consent in lieu of holding a meeting.

### **Certain Transactions and Legal Proceedings**

#### *Transactions with Bridgelux, Inc.*

From September 2006 until December 2008, the Company and the Trustees of Boston University, or the University, had multiple patent infringement lawsuits pending with Bridgelux, Inc. (formerly eLite Optoelectronics), or Bridgelux, as the opposing party. In June 2007, Mark Swoboda was appointed Chief Executive Officer of Bridgelux and transitioned to the role of President in January 2010. He left Bridgelux in June 2010. Mark Swoboda is the brother of the Company's Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, Charles M. Swoboda.

On December 22, 2008, the Company and the University entered into a Settlement and License Agreement with Bridgelux in which the parties agreed to settle their pending patent infringement litigation and to dismiss all claims and counterclaims in the suits. As part of the settlement, the Company granted Bridgelux a license to the Company and University patents at issue in the litigation, and Bridgelux agreed to pay the Company an upfront fee of \$1,500,000 and royalties ranging from 3% to 6% of certain Bridgelux sales, depending on the percentage of Bridgelux's LED chip requirements that it purchases from the Company during each royalty measurement period. In fiscal 2010, Bridgelux paid the Company royalties of approximately \$0.7 million. Bridgelux is required to purchase a certain portion of its LED chip requirements from the Company beginning July 1, 2010 through the end of the term of the Agreement.

To facilitate Bridgelux's purchases of LED chips from the Company, Bridgelux and the Company also entered into a Supply Agreement on December 22, 2008. During fiscal 2010, no sales occurred under the Supply Agreement.

#### *Transactions with Intematix Corporation*

In July 2010 Mark Swoboda was appointed Chief Executive Officer of Intematix Corporation, or Intematix. Prior to his appointment as Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Swoboda was unaffiliated with Intematix. Mark Swoboda is the brother of the Company's Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, Charles M. Swoboda. For a number of years the Company has purchased raw materials from Intematix and sold LED chips to Intematix pursuant to standard purchase orders in the ordinary course of business. The Company anticipates that it will continue to purchase raw materials from Intematix and sell LED chips to Intematix in the future pursuant to standard purchase orders.

#### *Mirant Proceedings*

Mr. Wagner served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mirant Corporation, an international energy company based in Atlanta, Georgia, from January 2003 through April 2004. In July 2003, Mirant and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries in the United States filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Additionally, certain of Mirant's Canadian subsidiaries filed an application for creditor protection under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act in Canada. The Canadian subsidiaries emerged from creditor protection in May 2004. Mirant Corporation emerged from bankruptcy proceedings in the United States in January 2006.

#### *Proxim Proceedings*

From 2003 to 2005, Mr. Plastina held various executive positions with Proxim Corporation, a provider of Wi-Fi and broadband wireless access products, including Executive Chairman, President and CEO. In June 2005,

Proxim Corporation filed a voluntary petition for relief under the reorganization provisions of Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware.

### **Review and Approval of Related Person Transactions**

The Audit Committee must approve any related person transaction, which is defined in the Audit Committee Charter as any transaction required to be disclosed pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-K, Item 404, and any other transactions for which Audit Committee approval is required pursuant to applicable law or listing standards applicable to the Company. In determining whether to approve such transactions, the members of the Audit Committee may exercise their discretion in performance of their duties as directors. These duties include the obligation of a director under North Carolina law to ‘discharge his duties as a director, including his duties as a member of a committee: (1) in good faith; (2) with the care an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would exercise under similar circumstances; and (3) in a manner he reasonably believes to be in the best interests of the corporation.’ [North Carolina General Statutes Section 55-8-30(a)]. The Audit Committee approved the related person transactions described above under “Certain Transactions and Legal Proceedings.”

### **Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires that the Company’s directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company’s equity securities, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of common stock and other equity securities of the Company. Directors, officers and greater-than-ten-percent beneficial owners are required by Securities and Exchange Commission rules to furnish the Company with copies of all reports they file under Section 16(a). To the Company’s knowledge, based solely on its review of the copies of such reports furnished to the Company and written representations that no other reports were required, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our directors, officers and beneficial owners were complied with on a timely basis during fiscal 2010.

**PROPOSAL NO. 2 — APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO 2004  
LONG-TERM INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN**

**General**

We are requesting that shareholders approve a proposed amendment to the LTIP. The amendment would increase the aggregate number of shares that may be issued under the LTIP by 3,000,000 shares. It would also increase the limit on the number of shares that may be awarded as restricted stock, stock units and performance units by 1,000,000 shares. Thus, of the proposed 3,000,000 share increase in authorized plan shares, no more than 1,000,000 shares could be awarded as restricted stock, stock units or performance units. The amendment was approved at a meeting of the Board of Directors on August 17, 2010 and will become effective only upon shareholder approval. The LTIP is currently the only plan under which we are authorized to award share-based compensation to employees and outside directors, including stock options and restricted stock.

If approved, the amendment would revise Section 4.1 of the LTIP to read as shown in Appendix C. The LTIP is filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 000-21154) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 18, 2010, which is available online through the Commission's EDGAR System and through our website at [www.cree.com/investor/sec.asp](http://www.cree.com/investor/sec.asp). You may also request a copy of the LTIP, as currently in effect, by sending a written request to: Director, Investor Relations, Cree, Inc., 4600 Silicon Drive, Durham, North Carolina 27703.

As of September 2, 2010, there remained 2,994,802 shares available for future awards under the LTIP, including 450,406 shares available for future awards of restricted stock, stock units and performance units. If the amendment is approved, the number of shares authorized for issuance under the plan would increase by 3,000,000 shares and the plan's limit on the number of shares that may be awarded as restricted stock, stock units and performance units would increase by 1,000,000 shares. Based on the awards outstanding as of September 2, 2010, if the amendment is approved there would be 5,994,802 shares available for future awards under the plan, of which no more than 1,450,406 shares could be awarded as restricted stock, stock units and performance units. For additional information regarding outstanding awards under our equity compensation plans, please refer to the section below entitled "Equity Compensation Plans."

We believe the LTIP, as proposed to be amended, is essential to the Company's future success and encourage shareholders to vote in favor of its approval.

**The Board of Directors recommends  
shareholders vote FOR Proposal No. 2.**

**Description of LTIP**

The following is a description of the LTIP as proposed to be amended. This description is merely a summary of material provisions of the plan and is qualified by the full text of the amended plan as filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 000-21154) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 18, 2010.

*Nature and Purpose.* The LTIP provides for grants to eligible participants in the form of nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, SARs, restricted stock, stock units and performance units. The objectives of the plan are to (i) attract and retain employees for the Company and its affiliates and directors of the Company by providing competitive compensation opportunities; (ii) provide incentives to those individuals who contribute significantly to the long-term performance and growth of the Company and its affiliates; and (iii) align the long-term financial interests of employees and directors with those of the Company's shareholders.

The LTIP is not generally subject to the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended. The LTIP is not a qualified employee pension plan under Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code.

*Eligible Participants.* Only employees of the Company and its subsidiaries, and non-employee directors of the Company, are eligible to receive awards under the LTIP. As of September 2, 2010, there were approximately 4,533 employees, including part-time and temporary employees, and six non-employee directors who were eligible to participate in the LTIP. The Company generally does not make stock-based awards to part-time employees working less than 30 hours per week or to temporary employees. Since September 2005, the Company has generally limited awards to salaried (exempt) employees and to non-employee directors.

*Administration.* The LTIP provides that it is to be administered by a committee, or the Committee, consisting of two or more non-employee directors appointed by the Board of Directors. The Committee has the exclusive right to interpret, construe and administer the LTIP, to select the persons eligible to receive awards and to act in all matters pertaining to the granting of awards and the contents of agreements evidencing awards. The Committee has the exclusive right to approve awards to outside directors. The Committee's decisions are conclusive, final and binding upon all parties. The Board of Directors, in its sole discretion, may exercise any authority of the Committee under the LTIP, except that awards to outside directors may only be approved by the Committee. The Board of Directors may appoint separate Committees, each composed solely of outside directors, to administer specific provisions of the plan.

Unless the Board of Directors directs otherwise, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors serves as the Committee under the terms of the LTIP. With respect to awards to outside directors, the Board of Directors has directed that awards under the plan must be approved by the Governance and Nominations Committee upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee. The charters adopted by the Board of Directors for both the Compensation Committee and the Governance and Nominations Committee provide that all members of each committee must be independent directors who meet the independence requirements of Nasdaq's Listing Rules. In addition, members of the Compensation Committee must be (i) "non-employee directors" as defined by Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and (ii) "outside directors" as defined by Section 162(m) of the Code. To the extent permitted by law and the Company's Bylaws, and subject to the applicable rules of any securities exchange or quotation or trading system on which the Company's shares are traded, the Committee acting as such for purposes of the plan may delegate authority under the LTIP to one or more Committee members or executive officers of the Company, except that the Committee may not delegate such authority with respect to awards to directors or executive officers. The Committee may also delegate authority for certain administrative functions under the LTIP to an officer or employee of the Company.

*Securities to be Offered.* The Company is authorized to issue shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.00125 per share, pursuant to awards under the LTIP. Shares subject to awards under the plan are made available from the authorized and unissued shares of the Company's common stock. The last sale price of the common stock on September 2, 2010 was \$54.62 per share, as reported by Nasdaq.

As of September 2, 2010, there were available for future awards under the LTIP a total of 2,994,802 shares, of which 450,406 shares were available for awards of restricted stock, stock units and performance units. The amendment proposed by Proposal No. 2 would increase the aggregate number of shares that may be issued pursuant to awards under the LTIP by 3,000,000 shares and the aggregate number of shares that may be awarded as restricted stock, stock units and performance units by 1,000,000 shares. If for any reason any shares awarded or subject to purchase under the LTIP are not delivered or purchased, or are reacquired by the Company, the shares will again become available for issuance pursuant to awards under the LTIP, except that shares with respect to which a SAR is exercised and any shares withheld for payment of taxes in connection with an award will not thereafter be available for issuance under the plan. The determination of the number of shares that may again become available for issuance with respect to grants of incentive stock options will be made in accordance with the requirements of applicable regulations under the Code.

The Committee has authority to determine the individuals to whom awards will be granted, the number of shares subject to an award and the other terms and conditions of an award. Except to the extent the Committee determines that an award shall not comply with the performance-based compensation provisions of Section 162(m) of the Code, (i) the aggregate number of shares subject to options or SARs granted in any one fiscal year to any one participant shall not exceed 300,000, (ii) the aggregate number of shares subject to restricted stock or stock unit awards granted in any one fiscal year to any one participant shall not exceed 100,000 and (iii) the aggregate value of performance unit awards (valued as of the grant date) that may be granted in any one fiscal year to any one participant shall not exceed the fair market value of 100,000 shares.

The Committee will make equitable adjustments upon the occurrence of certain events that result in changes in the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock or that result in exchanges of shares of common stock for a different number or class of common stock or other securities of the Company or another corporation. These events include changes in corporate capitalization, such as a stock split, reverse stock split or stock dividend, or any corporate transaction such as a reorganization, reclassification, merger or consolidation or separation, including a spin-off, of the Company or sale or other disposition by the Company of all or a portion of its assets, any other change in the Company's corporate structure or any distribution to shareholders (other than a cash dividend). Under such circumstances, adjustments may be made by the Committee in the number of shares that may be awarded under the LTIP, the limitations on the aggregate number of shares that may be awarded to any one participant, the number and class of shares that may be subject to an award and which have not been issued or transferred under an outstanding award, the exercise price under outstanding options and the number of shares to be transferred in settlement of outstanding SARs and the terms, conditions or restrictions of any award and award agreement, including the price payable for the acquisition of shares.

*Amendments.* The Committee or the Board of Directors may at any time terminate or from time to time amend the LTIP, but no such action may adversely affect any rights or obligations with respect to any awards previously granted under the LTIP unless the affected participants consent in writing. However, neither the Committee nor the Board of Directors may, without approval of the shareholders, amend the LTIP to materially (i) increase benefits accruing to participants, (ii) increase the number of shares which may be issued under the LTIP or (iii) modify the requirements for participation in the LTIP. The Company must also obtain the approval of the shareholders before amending the LTIP to the extent required by Section 162(m) or Section 422 of the Code or the rules of any securities exchange or quotation or trading system on which shares are traded or other applicable law.

The Committee may amend outstanding awards in a manner not inconsistent with the terms of the LTIP; provided, however, that (i) if the amendment is adverse to the participant, as determined by the Committee, the amendment will not be effective unless and until the participant consents in writing, except as otherwise permitted by the LTIP or the award agreement, and (ii) the Committee shall not have the authority to decrease the exercise price of any outstanding option or SAR, nor award any option or SAR to replace a canceled option or SAR with a higher exercise price, except for adjustments in connection with changes in corporate capitalization and other events as described above, unless such an amendment is approved by the shareholders. Neither the Committee nor the Board of Directors may amend, waive, lapse or otherwise modify any conditions or restrictions in any outstanding award without shareholder approval, except to the extent that the award as so modified would have been permitted by provisions of the plan, described below under "Limitations on Acceleration of Awards," that permit accelerated vesting, or the lapse or waiver of restrictions or other conditions, so long as the aggregate number of shares subject to such awards does not exceed five percent (5%) of the number of shares authorized for grant under the plan.

*Limitations on Acceleration of Awards.* The Committee may grant awards with terms that provide that vesting of the award accelerates or other restrictions lapse, or that conditions to payment of a performance unit may be deemed met without achieving the related performance goal, only in certain circumstances. Subject to the exceptions described below, such circumstances are limited to (i) the death, disability or retirement of the participant, (ii) a "change in control" of the Company (as defined in a written employment or similar agreement with the Company) or (iii) an acquisition of the Company in which outstanding awards are not assumed by the acquiror or replaced with equivalent awards issued by the acquiror.

As an exception to these limitations, the Committee may grant awards with terms that provide for accelerated vesting, or the lapse or waiver of restrictions or other conditions, in other circumstances so long as the aggregate number of shares subject to such awards does not exceed five percent (5%) of the number of shares authorized for grant under the plan. In addition, stock options and restricted stock awards granted on or before June 29, 2008 may provide for accelerated vesting, or lapse or waiver of restrictions or other conditions, in the event that the participant's employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by the participant for good reason, where "cause" and "good reason" are defined in a written employment agreement with the Company.

*Stock Options.* The number of shares subject to a stock option, the type of stock option (i.e., incentive stock option or nonqualified stock option), the exercise price of the option and the period of exercise are determined by the Committee and set forth in an award agreement. The exercise price may not be less than the fair market value of a share on the date of grant. No option granted under the LTIP shall be exercisable after the seventh anniversary of the date of grant.

Options granted under the LTIP shall be exercised by the delivery of written or electronic notice of exercise to the Company or its designated representative, setting forth the number of shares with respect to which the option is to be exercised and satisfying any requirements that the Committee may establish in or pursuant to the award agreement. Unless otherwise authorized by the Committee, no shares shall be delivered, whether in certificated or uncertificated form, until the full exercise price has been paid. The option price upon exercise shall be payable to the Company either (a) in cash, (b) in a cash equivalent approved by the Committee, (c) if approved by the Committee, by tendering previously acquired shares (or delivering a certification or attestation of ownership of such shares) having an aggregate fair market value at the time of exercise equal to the total option price (provided that the tendered shares must have been held by the participant for any period required by the Committee), or (d) by a combination of (a), (b) or (c). The Committee also may allow cashless exercises as permitted under Regulation T of the Federal Reserve Board, subject to applicable securities law restrictions, or by any other means that the Committee determines to be consistent with the LTIP's purpose and applicable law.

*SARs.* SARs granted under the LTIP entitle the participant to receive an amount payable in shares and/or cash, as determined by the Committee, equal to the excess of the fair market value of a share on the day the SAR is exercised over the specified purchase price. SARs may be granted in tandem with a related stock option or independently. If a SAR is granted in tandem with a stock option, the participant may exercise the stock option or the SAR, but not both. The Committee shall determine and set forth in the award agreement the extent to which SARs are exercisable after termination of employment. No SAR granted under the LTIP shall be exercisable after the seventh anniversary of the date of grant.

*Restricted Stock and Stock Units.* A restricted stock award under the LTIP is an award of shares issued to a participant with such restrictions as the Committee may impose, including restrictions on the right to retain the shares, to sell, transfer, pledge or assign the shares, to vote the shares and/or to receive any cash dividends with respect to the shares. A stock unit award under the LTIP is an award, valued by reference to a share, in which the Company promises to pay the value of the award to the participant by delivery of such property as the Committee shall determine, including cash or shares or any combination thereof, and that has such restrictions as the Committee may impose, including restrictions on the right to retain the award, to sell, transfer, pledge or assign the award and/or to receive any cash dividend equivalents with respect to the award.

The restrictions on restricted stock and stock unit awards may lapse separately or in combination at such time or times, in installments or otherwise, as the Committee may deem appropriate, subject to certain minimum restriction periods described below. Restricted stock and stock unit awards may be made either alone, in addition to or in tandem with other types of awards permitted under the LTIP and may be current or deferred grants of restricted stock or stock units.

The terms of restricted stock and stock unit awards, including the purchase price, if any, to be paid for the restricted stock or stock unit, any restrictions applicable to the restricted stock or stock unit such as continued

service or achievement of performance goals, the length of the restriction period and whether any circumstances will shorten or terminate the restriction period, and rights of the participant during the restriction period to vote and receive dividends in the case of restricted stock, or to receive dividend equivalents in the case of stock units that accrue dividend equivalents, will be determined by the Committee and set forth in the agreement relating to such award.

All grants of restricted stock or stock units shall have a restriction period of at least three years, except that awards with restrictions based upon achievement of performance goals shall have a restriction period of at least one year. These minimum restriction periods may be shortened in limited circumstances as described above under "Limitations on Acceleration of Awards." In addition, the Committee may provide in the award agreement or an individual employment or similar agreement for vesting of an award on a pro rata basis during the restriction period. Unless otherwise set forth in an agreement relating to a restricted stock award, a participant awarded shares as restricted stock shall have all of the rights of a shareholder of the Company, including the right to vote the shares and the right to receive dividends, provided however that the Committee may require that any dividends on such shares of restricted stock be automatically deferred and reinvested in additional restricted stock or may require that dividends on such shares be paid to the Company for the account of the participant. A participant to whom stock units are awarded has no rights as a shareholder with respect to the shares represented by the stock units unless and until shares are actually delivered to the participant in settlement of the award. However, the Committee may specify in the award agreement that stock units have dividend equivalent rights.

*Performance Units.* Performance units are awards granted in terms of a value set by the Committee (or that is determined by reference to a valuation formula specified by the Committee) in which the Company promises to pay the value of the award by delivery of such property as the Committee shall determine, including without limitation, cash or shares, or any combination thereof, upon achievement of such performance objectives during the relevant performance period as the Committee shall establish. Such awards may be granted subject to any restrictions deemed appropriate by the Committee. The determination of the Committee with respect to the form and timing of payout of such awards shall be set forth in the award agreement. Except as otherwise provided in the award agreement, a participant shall be entitled to receive any dividends declared with respect to earned grants of performance units that are being settled in shares and that have not yet been distributed to the participant (such dividends may be subject to the same accrual, forfeiture and payout restrictions as apply to dividends earned with respect to stock units under the LTIP). In addition, unless otherwise provided in the award agreement, a participant shall be entitled to exercise full voting rights with respect to such shares.

*Performance Measures.* For awards under the LTIP that are intended to qualify under the performance-based compensation provisions of Section 162(m) of the Code, the performance measure or measures to be used for purposes of such awards shall be chosen from among the following: earnings, earnings per share, consolidated pre-tax earnings, net earnings, operating income, EBIT (earnings before interest and taxes), EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization), gross margin, revenues, revenue growth, market value added, economic value added, return on equity, return on investment, return on assets, return on net assets, return on capital employed, total shareholder return, profit, economic profit, after-tax profit, pre-tax profit, cash flow measures, cash flow return, sales, sales volume, stock price, cost and/or unit cost. The Committee can establish other performance measures for awards granted to participants that are not intended to qualify under the performance-based compensation provisions of Section 162(m) of the Code.

*Awards to Outside Directors.* Each non-employee director may receive awards of nonqualified stock options, SARs, restricted stock, stock units or a combination thereof in any fiscal year for up to a total of 20,000 shares, of which no more than 10,000 shares may be awarded as restricted stock or stock units. The number of shares subject to such awards, any formula pursuant to which such number shall be determined, the date of grant and the vesting, expiration and other terms applicable to such awards shall be approved from time to time by the Committee and shall be subject to the terms of the LTIP applicable to awards in general.



## **Federal Income Tax Consequences**

The following is a brief summary of the U.S. federal income tax consequences of awards made under the LTIP.

*Stock Options.* A participant will not recognize any income upon the grant of a stock option. A participant will recognize income taxable as ordinary income upon exercise of a nonqualified stock option equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares purchased over the sum of the exercise price and the amount, if any, paid for the option, and the Company will be entitled to a corresponding deduction. A participant who is an employee of the Company or a consolidated subsidiary (which are collectively referred to as the Company in this section entitled "Federal Income Tax Consequences") will be subject to income tax withholding on the ordinary income recognized upon exercise of a nonqualified stock option. A participant will not recognize income (except for purposes of the alternative minimum tax) upon exercise of an incentive stock option provided that the incentive stock option is exercised either while the participant is an employee of the Company or within three months (one year if the participant is disabled within the meaning of Section 22(c)(3) of the Code) following the participant's termination of employment. If shares acquired by such exercise of an incentive stock option are held for the longer of two years from the date the incentive stock option was granted or one year from the date it was exercised, any gain or loss arising from a subsequent disposition of such shares will be taxed as long-term capital gain or loss, and the Company will not be entitled to any deduction. If, however, such shares are disposed of within the above-described period, then in the year of such disposition the participant will recognize income taxable as ordinary income equal to the excess of (i) the lesser of the amount realized upon such disposition and the fair market value of such shares on the date of exercise over (ii) the exercise price, and the Company will be entitled to a corresponding deduction.

*SARs.* A participant will not recognize any income upon the grant of a SAR. A participant will recognize income taxable as ordinary income (and with respect to a Company employee, be subject to income tax withholding) upon exercise of a SAR equal to the fair market value of any shares delivered and the amount of cash paid by the Company upon such exercise, and the Company will be entitled to a corresponding deduction.

*Restricted Stock Awards.* A participant will not recognize taxable income upon the grant of a restricted stock award, and the Company will not be entitled to a tax deduction at such time, unless the participant makes an election to be taxed at the time such restricted stock award is granted. If such election is made, the participant will recognize income taxable as ordinary income (and with respect to a Company employee, be subject to income tax withholding) at the time of grant in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares at such time over the amount, if any, paid for such shares. If such election is not made, the participant will recognize income taxable as ordinary income (and with respect to a Company employee, be subject to income tax withholding) at the time the restrictions lapse in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares at such time over the amount, if any, paid for such shares. The amount of ordinary income recognized by a participant by making the above-described election or upon the lapse of the restrictions will be deductible by the Company, as compensation expense, except to the extent the limit of Section 162(m) of the Code applies. In addition, a participant receiving dividends with respect to shares subject to a restricted stock award for which the above-described election has not been made and prior to the time the restrictions lapse will recognize income taxable as ordinary income (and with respect to a Company employee, be subject to income tax withholding), rather than dividend income, in an amount equal to the dividends paid, and the Company will be entitled to a corresponding deduction, except to the extent the limit of Section 162(m) of the Code applies.

*Stock Units.* A participant will not recognize taxable income upon the grant of a stock unit and the Company will not be entitled to a tax deduction at that time. When the participant receives shares pursuant to a stock unit that is settled in shares, the federal income tax laws applicable to restricted stock awards, described above, will apply if the shares are restricted at that time. If the shares are unrestricted at that time, the participant will recognize income taxable as ordinary income (and with respect to a Company employee, be subject to income tax withholding) in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares at such time over the amount,

if any, paid for such shares. The amount of ordinary income recognized by the participant is deductible by the Company, as compensation expense, except to the extent the limit of Section 162(m) of the Code applies.

*Performance Units.* A participant will not recognize taxable income upon the grant of a performance unit and the Company will not be entitled to a tax deduction at that time. Upon the settlement of a performance unit, the participant will recognize income taxable as ordinary income (and with respect to a Company employee, be subject to income tax withholding) in an amount equal to the cash paid and the fair market value of the shares or other property delivered to the participant, and the Company will be entitled to a corresponding deduction, except to the extent the limit of Section 162(m) of the Code applies and except in the case of performance units settled in shares of restricted stock (in which case the federal income tax laws applicable to restricted stock described above will apply).

*Compliance with Section 162(m).* Section 162(m) of the Code limits the income tax deduction by the Company for certain compensation paid to the chief executive officer and the three other highest-paid executive officers (other than the chief financial officer) to \$1 million per year. Compensation realized with respect to stock options awarded under the LTIP, including upon exercise of a nonqualified stock option or upon a disqualifying disposition of an incentive stock option, as described above, and compensation realized with respect to SARs awarded under the LTIP, will be excluded from this deductibility limit if it satisfies certain requirements, including a requirement that the LTIP be approved by the Company's current shareholders. In addition, other types of awards under the LTIP may be excluded from this deduction limit if they are conditioned on the achievement of one or more of the performance measures described above, as required by Section 162(m).

*Compliance with Section 409A.* Section 409A of the Code prescribes certain requirements for nonqualified deferred compensation arrangements. These include requirements with respect to an individual's election to defer compensation and the individual's selection of the timing and form of distribution of the deferred compensation. Awards granted under the LTIP with a deferral feature will be subject to the requirements of Section 409A. The Company seeks to structure all awards granted under the LTIP to satisfy the requirements of Section 409A of the Code and any regulations or guidance that may be adopted thereunder from time to time. However, if an award is subject to and fails to satisfy the requirements of Section 409A, the recipient of that award may recognize ordinary income on the amounts deferred under the award, to the extent vested, prior to when the compensation is actually or constructively received. Also, if an award that is subject to Section 409A fails to comply with Section 409A's provisions, Section 409A imposes an additional 20% federal income tax on compensation recognized as ordinary income, as well as interest on such deferred compensation.

## Plan Awards

The following table sets forth with respect to each individual and group listed below (i) the number of shares of common stock issued or issuable pursuant to stock options granted under the LTIP, (ii) the number of restricted stock and stock unit awards granted under the LTIP and (iii) the number of shares of common stock issued or issuable pursuant to performance units granted under the LTIP, in each case since the LTIP's inception on November 4, 2004 through September 2, 2010. Any future awards granted to eligible participants under the LTIP are subject to the discretion of the Committee or Board of Directors and therefore are not determinable at this time. To date, no incentive stock options have been granted under the LTIP and none are presently contemplated. The table does not include grants made under any of the Company's other compensation plans.

### *Cumulative Grants Since Plan Inception in 2004*

	<u>No. of Shares Underlying Options Granted</u>	<u>No. of Shares Underlying Restricted Stock and Stock Unit Awards Granted</u>	<u>No. of Shares Underlying Performance Units Granted</u>
Charles M. Swoboda Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President	516,000	195,000	5,794
John T. Kurtzweil Executive Vice President—Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	185,000	44,000	—
Stephen D. Kelley Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	150,000	32,000	—
Dolph W. von Arx	30,000	30,000	—
Clyde R. Hosein	28,750	28,750	—
Robert A. Ingram	13,750	13,750	—
Franco Plastina	18,750	18,750	—
Harvey A. Wagner	30,000	30,000	—
Thomas H. Werner	27,500	27,500	—
Robert L. Tillman (Nominee)	—	—	—
All current executive officers as a group	851,000	271,000	5,794
All current directors who are not executive officers as a group	298,750	184,750	—
All associates of directors, executive officers or nominees	—	—	—
All other persons who received or are to receive 5% of plan awards	—	—	—
All employees, including all current officers who are not executive officers, as a group (1)	9,762,148	512,200	—

(1) Amounts reported are the gross number of shares underlying grants; 943,416 options and 13,150 restricted shares have been forfeited upon termination of employees.

The Company in August 2010 also granted additional performance units to Messrs. Swoboda, Kurtzweil and Kelley under the LTIP. Pursuant to these awards, if the Company achieves certain financial goals during fiscal 2011, Mr. Swoboda may earn incentive compensation in a target amount equal to 100% of his base salary and Messrs. Kurtzweil and Kelley may earn incentive compensation in a target amount equal to 39% of their base salaries. These awards do not provide for settlement in shares. The actual payouts may range from 0% to 150% of the target amount depending upon the Company's financial performance.

## Equity Compensation Plans

As of September 2, 2010:

- There were options to purchase 7,459,813 shares of our common stock outstanding under all of our equity compensation plans, including legacy plans under which we will make no more grants. The weighted average remaining life of these outstanding options was 5.4 years, and the weighted average exercise price was \$37.43.
- There were 469,280 shares outstanding subject to restricted stock and stock unit awards that remain subject to forfeiture.
- There were 2,994,802 shares available for future grants under the LTIP, of which no more than 450,406 shares can be awarded as restricted stock, stock units and performance units, and 686,783 shares available for future issuance under our 2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP.

The following table provides information, as of June 27, 2010, for all of the Company's compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which it is authorized to issue equity securities.

### Equity Compensation Plan Information

<u>Plan Category</u>	<u>(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (1)</u>	<u>(b) Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights</u>	<u>(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (1)</u>
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	5,552,662(2)	\$31.56	5,831,115(3)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	85,824(4)	\$10.01	100,000(5)
<u>Total</u>	<u>5,638,486</u>	<u>\$31.23</u>	<u>5,931,115</u>

- (1) Refers to shares of the Company's common stock.
- (2) Includes shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding options under the following plans in the amounts indicated: Equity Compensation Plan—445,424 shares; and LTIP—5,107,238.
- (3) Includes shares remaining for future issuance under the following plans in the amounts indicated: LTIP—5,144,332 shares, 651,406 shares of which are available for issuance as restricted shares; and ESPP—686,783 shares.
- (4) Includes shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding options under the following plans in the amounts indicated: Fiscal 2001 Stock Option Bonus Plan, or the Fiscal 2001 Bonus Plan—21,670 shares; INTRINSIC Semiconductor Corporation 2003 Equity Incentive Plan, or the INTRINSIC Plan—9,633 shares; and LED Lighting Fixtures, Inc. 2006 Stock Plan, or the LLF Plan—54,357 shares. Also includes shares issuable under the Non-Employee Director Stock Compensation and Deferral Program, or the Deferral Program—164 shares. The Company assumed (i) the options outstanding under the INTRINSIC Plan, which have a weighted average exercise price of \$2.54 per share, in connection with the Company's acquisition of INTRINSIC Semiconductor Corporation, or INTRINSIC, in July 2006, and (ii) the options outstanding under the LLF Plan, which have a weighted average exercise price of \$2.95 per share, in connection with the Company's acquisition of LLF in February 2008.
- (5) Includes 100,000 shares remaining for future issuance under the Deferral Program.

As of June 27, 2010, the only compensation plans or arrangements under which the Company is authorized to issue equity securities and which have not been previously approved by the shareholders are the Fiscal 2001

Bonus Plan, the Deferral Program and the options assumed under the INTRINSIC Plan and the LLF Plan. All of these plans, except the Deferral Program, have been terminated as to future grants. The following is a brief description of the material features of these plans; this description is not intended to be a complete description of the plans and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the applicable plan:

*Fiscal 2001 Bonus Plans.* The Board of Directors adopted the Fiscal 2001 Bonus Plan in October 1999 in order to provide for grants of nonqualified stock options to the Company's eligible employees (including employees of its controlled subsidiaries) for each quarter of fiscal 2001 if the Company achieved pre-established financial targets for the quarter. None of the Company's directors or officers was eligible to receive awards under the plan, and employees participating in its cash incentive compensation programs did not participate in the plan. The Fiscal 2001 Bonus Plan expired as to additional grants in September 2001. As of June 27, 2010, there were 21,670 nonqualified stock options outstanding under the Fiscal 2001 Bonus Plan.

*INTRINSIC Plan.* In connection with the acquisition of INTRINSIC in July 2006, pursuant to which INTRINSIC became the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, the Company assumed certain outstanding stock options granted under the INTRINSIC Plan. Since the closing of the acquisition, no additional stock options have been awarded, nor are any authorized to be awarded, under the INTRINSIC Plan. As of June 27, 2010, there were 1,330 incentive stock options and 8,303 nonqualified stock options outstanding under the INTRINSIC Plan.

*LLF Plan.* In connection with the acquisition of LLF in February 2008, pursuant to which LLF became the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, the Company assumed certain outstanding stock options granted under the LLF Plan. Since the closing of the acquisition, no additional stock options have been awarded, nor are any authorized to be awarded, under the LLF Plan. As of June 27, 2010, there were 54,357 nonqualified stock options outstanding under the LLF Plan.

*Deferral Program.* The Company offers its non-employee directors the opportunity to receive all or a portion of their cash compensation in shares of the Company's common stock and to defer the time of receipt of such shares. A non-employee director may elect to receive a lump sum payment or annual installment payments of the shares following such director's separation from service with the Company. Non-employee directors must make their deferral elections by December 31st of the prior year. The Board of Directors adopted the Plan in August 2009, and it became effective on January 1, 2010. As of June 27, 2010, there were 100,000 shares reserved for issuance under the Deferral Program, of which 164 shares have been credited to directors' accounts.

## OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

### Principal Shareholders and Share Ownership by Management

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock as of August 2, 2010 by (i) each person known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding common stock, (ii) each person named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 38, (iii) each person serving as a director or nominated for election as a director and (iv) all current executive officers and directors as a group. Except as otherwise indicated by footnote, to the Company's knowledge, the persons named in the table below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them.

<u>Name and Address (1)</u>	<u>Common Stock Beneficially Owned</u>	<u>Percentage of Outstanding Shares</u>
FMR LLC (2) 82 Devonshire Street Boston, MA 02109	15,837,982	14.7%
PRIMECAP Management Company (3) 225 South Lake Avenue, #400 Pasadena, CA 91101	8,810,607	8.2%
Dolph W. von Arx (4)	548,535	*
John W. Palmour, Ph.D. (5)	414,481	*
Charles M. Swoboda (6)	330,871	*
John T. Kurtzweil (7)	111,423	*
Stephen D. Kelley (8)	63,474	*
Harvey A. Wagner (9)	63,000	*
Thomas H. Werner (10)	45,000	*
Clyde R. Hosein (11)	28,750	*
Robert A. Ingram (12)	18,664	*
Franco Plastina (13)	17,500	*
Robert L. Tillman (Nominee)	—	*
All current directors and executive officers as a group (10 persons) (14)	1,641,798	1.5%

\* Less than 1%.

- (1) Unless otherwise noted, all addresses are in care of the Company at 4600 Silicon Drive, Durham, NC 27703.
- (2) As reported by FMR LLC in its report on Form 13F filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, which states that FMR LLC has defined investment discretion with respect to all of such shares, sole voting authority with respect to 89,605 shares and no voting authority with respect to 15,748,377 shares.
- (3) As reported by PRIMECAP Management Company in its report on Form 13F filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, which states that PRIMECAP Management Company has sole investment discretion with respect to all of such shares, sole voting authority with respect to 3,971,207 shares and no voting authority with respect to 4,839,400 shares.
- (4) Includes 41,000 shares subject to options exercisable within sixty days of August 2, 2010. Also includes 5,000 shares held by Mr. von Arx pursuant to a restricted stock award which had not vested as of August 2, 2010. The share amount reported for Mr. von Arx includes 421,135 shares held in revocable living trusts over which Mr. von Arx has shared voting and investment power. The share amount reported for Mr. von Arx also includes 15,000 shares held by a family trust of which his spouse is a co-trustee with respect to which he has no voting or investment power, and 8,000 shares owned by a charitable foundation of which he is a director and with respect to which he may be deemed to possess shared voting and investment power. Mr. von Arx disclaims beneficial ownership of the 15,000 shares held by the family trust.

- (5) Includes 90,000 shares subject to options exercisable within sixty days of August 2, 2010, and 18,000 shares held by Dr. Palmour pursuant to restricted stock awards which had not vested as of August 2, 2010. The share amount reported for Dr. Palmour includes 40,000 shares owned by his spouse and with respect to which he may be deemed to possess shared voting and investment power; Dr. Palmour disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares.
- (6) Includes 105,000 shares subject to options exercisable within sixty days of August 2, 2010. Also includes 99,000 shares held by Mr. Swoboda pursuant to restricted stock awards which had not vested as of August 2, 2010.
- (7) Includes 75,000 shares subject to options exercisable within sixty days of August 2, 2010. Also includes 22,400 shares held by Mr. Kurtzweil pursuant to restricted stock awards which had not vested as of August 2, 2010. The share amount reported for Mr. Kurtzweil includes 14,023 shares held jointly with his spouse and as to which he possesses shared voting and investment power.
- (8) Includes 38,334 shares subject to options exercisable within sixty days of August 2, 2010. Also includes 22,000 shares held by Mr. Kelley pursuant to restricted stock awards which had not vested as of August 2, 2010.
- (9) Includes 48,000 shares subject to options exercisable within sixty days of August 2, 2010. Also includes 5,000 shares held by Mr. Wagner pursuant to a restricted stock award which had not vested as of August 2, 2010.
- (10) Includes 22,500 shares subject to options exercisable within sixty days of August 2, 2010. Also includes 5,000 shares held by Mr. Werner pursuant to a restricted stock award which had not vested as of August 2, 2010. The share amount reported by Mr. Werner includes 2,000 shares held by a family trust.
- (11) Includes 23,750 shares subject to options exercisable within sixty days of August 2, 2010. Also includes 5,000 shares held by Mr. Hosein pursuant to a restricted stock award which had not vested as of August 2, 2010.
- (12) Includes 8,750 shares subject to options exercisable within sixty days of August 2, 2010. Also includes 5,000 shares held by Mr. Ingram pursuant to restricted stock awards which had not vested as of August 2, 2010.
- (13) Includes 2,500 shares subject to options exercisable within sixty days of August 2, 2010. Also includes 5,000 shares held by Mr. Plastina pursuant to a restricted stock award which had not vested as of August 2, 2010.
- (14) For all current executive officers and directors as a group, includes a total of 454,834 shares subject to options exercisable within sixty days of August 2, 2010 and 191,400 shares held pursuant to restricted stock awards which had not vested as of August 2, 2010.

## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

### Compensation Discussion and Analysis

#### *Executive Summary*

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors has overall responsibility for executive officer compensation, including: defining the compensation philosophy, setting the elements of compensation and determining individual compensation decisions. The Committee is also responsible for overseeing administration of compensation and benefit plans and programs in which our executive officers are eligible to participate.

The following discussion and analysis describes the elements of our compensation programs with respect to the fiscal 2010 compensation of our named executive officers, including the purpose of each element and its relationship to the overall compensation philosophy of the Company. In addition, this discussion and analysis explains the decisions that were made in determining the fiscal 2010 compensation for each named executive officer.

When making compensation decisions for our named executive officers for fiscal 2010, the Committee considered our financial performance for fiscal 2009. For fiscal 2009, our revenues increased 15% from fiscal 2008 to \$567 million, and our operating income more than doubled to \$30 million. In one of the worst economic downturns, when many companies were struggling, the Company was able to deliver superior financial results. Payout for certain performance-based incentive awards made to our named executive officers for fiscal 2010 was based on our strong financial performance during fiscal 2009. For fiscal 2010, we had another record year during which the Company consistently delivered results that exceeded both quarterly and annual plan targets. Our revenue increased 53% to \$867 million and earnings were \$152 million and \$1.45 per diluted share. Cash provided by operations was \$250 million and we exited fiscal 2010 with \$1.1 billion in cash and investments and continued to be debt free.

During fiscal 2010 our named executive officers included our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, Charles M. Swoboda, referred to below as our CEO, our Executive Vice President—Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, John T. Kurtzweil, referred to below as our CFO, and our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Stephen D. Kelley, referred to below as our COO.

This discussion and analysis should be read in connection with the accompanying tables and text disclosing the fiscal 2010 compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to the named executive officers included elsewhere in this proxy statement.

#### *Compensation Philosophy and Objectives*

The compensation program is designed to enhance shareholder value by providing compensation packages that will enable us to attract and retain talented executives, align the interests of our executives with the long-term interests of our shareholders and motivate executives to achieve business goals and objectives.

The Committee believes that the compensation packages provided to our executives, including the named executive officers, should include both cash and stock-based compensation and utilize incentive-based compensation to reward performance as measured against established business goals.

To achieve its objectives in setting fiscal 2010 compensation for our executive officers, the Committee:

- Emphasized variable, performance-based compensation to motivate executives to achieve the Company's business objectives and align pay with performance.
- Increased the proportion of compensation that is performance-based and at-risk (i.e., cash incentive compensation) for executives with greater responsibilities who are positioned to influence our ability to achieve strategic objectives.



- Utilized equity compensation to create a culture of ownership and focus on long-term growth. Equity played a significant role in the pay mix of the executives to ensure alignment with shareholder interests.

The Committee considered a variety of factors in setting individual compensation levels, including individual and Company performance for fiscal 2009 based on established objectives, Company performance for fiscal 2009 as compared with a group of peer companies (including competitive pay practices), historical compensation levels, the relative levels of compensation among our executive officers, and retention risks along with overall market and economic conditions.

*Disclosure Regarding Compensation Consultants*

The Committee engaged Radford to act as an independent compensation consultant beginning in fiscal 2009. Annually, the Committee assesses the performance of the consultant and makes a determination whether to renew the contract for an additional one-year period. Radford was given the overall directive to assist the Committee with implementing the Company’s compensation philosophy in keeping with the Company’s objectives.

*Elements of Executive Compensation*

The primary elements of the executive compensation program are described below:

<b>Compensation Element</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Practice</b>
Base salary	Annual cash compensation for services rendered during the fiscal year.	Competitive market ranges are established using the 50 <sup>th</sup> and 75 <sup>th</sup> percentile of the composite market as “goal posts”. Actual executive salary is based on a holistic assessment by the Committee of the scope of position, experience, overall contributions to the success of the Company and individual performance and may be outside of the goal posts.
Performance-based cash incentive compensation	Annual cash payments for achieving predetermined financial goals and quarterly cash payments (for all executive officers except the CEO) for achieving predetermined financial and/or performance goals.	Target incentives, as a percentage of an executive’s base salary, are established based on competitive market data. Actual payout is linked directly to the achievement of specified individual performance and/or corporate financial goals.
Long-term equity incentive compensation	Combination of stock options and restricted stock designed to focus on long-term growth and increased shareholder value; to retain and motivate executives.	Equity award grants are based on an evaluation of competitive market data, corporate performance and retention risks. The levels vary among participants based on position and individual performance. Equity comprises a larger portion of the total direct compensation than the other pay elements.

<b>Compensation Element</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Practice</b>
Other benefits	To attract and retain executives and by providing market competitive benefits.	Executive officers are eligible to participate in health and welfare plans, life insurance, 401(k) and a stock purchase program. These plans are not unique to executive officers and are available to all regular, full-time employees. In addition, the Company has entered into a change in control agreement with each executive officer and, they are covered under a severance plan which provides for severance benefits in the event the executive officer is terminated without cause or resigns for good reason not in connection with a change in control. In addition, named executives are permitted to voluntarily elect a comprehensive physical examination once every two calendar years until age 50 and once per calendar year thereafter.

#### *Determining Competitive Market Levels*

The Committee uses competitive market data in setting individual compensation levels for our executive officers. This data is derived from two sources: (1) public company filings from a select peer group and (2) published survey data. The two data points were equally blended to create a market composite, which the Committee uses to derive competitive target compensation ranges (“market range”) for each element of compensation and the combined totals of the elements. The Committee believes that this approach provides the most meaningful market and accurate comparison.

The Committee, assisted by Radford, selects the peer group based on the following criteria:

- Semiconductor or semiconductor-related business
- Semiconductor device companies (as opposed to equipment companies)
- Clean technology companies (those who offer products and services to reduce the use of natural resources)
- Comparable revenue, market cap, and market cap as a multiple of revenue
- Comparable number of employees
- Companies that we compete against for executive talent

The Committee reviewed the peer group from fiscal 2009 and confirmed all companies met the criteria listed above and made no additions or deletions. For comparative purposes, our revenues for fiscal 2009 approximated the median annual revenues and market value of these peer group companies. The companies comprising the peer group used in determining fiscal 2010 executive compensation were as follows:

Atheros Communications, Inc.	PMC-Sierra, Inc.
II-VI Inc.	Rambus Inc.
Integrated Device Technology Inc.	RF Micro Devices, Inc.
Intersil Corp.	Semtech Corporation
Linear Technology Corp.	Silicon Laboratories Inc.
Micrel, Incorporated	Skyworks Solutions, Inc.
Microchip Technology Incorporated	SunPower Corporation.
Microsemi Corporation	

The Committee used the peer group data to compare the Company's performance to performance of the peer group and reviewed each executive officer's compensation against the peer group proxy data for comparable positions where a comparable position was disclosed. The Committee reviewed compensation of each individual in the context of Company performance as a means to ensure there was a strong link between pay and performance.

Additionally, the Committee considered a survey published by Radford, the Radford Executive High-Technology survey, as a source of competitive data to ascertain compensation levels in the broader competitive market. For benchmarking purposes, the Committee selected data from the survey for public high-technology companies with annual revenue levels between \$300 million and \$700 million. A list of these companies can be found in Appendix A. The Committee targeted public semiconductor companies with revenue levels up to \$200 million. A list of these companies can be found in Appendix B. The analysis included the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile, median and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile on salary, incentive, and equity.

#### *Factors considered in making individual pay decisions*

It is not the Committee's objective that any element represent a specific percentage of overall compensation for any officer. However, consistent with our philosophy, for executives other than the CEO, over 65% of total direct compensation was allocated to performance-based pay in the form of short-term incentives and equity awards. For the CEO, over 80% of this total direct compensation was allocated to at-risk pay.

The Committee reviewed the total direct compensation relative to the composite market data. The Committee, as a guideline, seeks to confirm that each executive's total direct compensation is within a target range that is between the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile and the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of competitive market compensation paid to similarly-situated executives. In applying this guideline, the Committee values the total direct compensation as the sum of the base salary, the targeted value of the performance-based incentive compensation and the estimated fair value of the equity awards at the time the compensation is granted. The Committee considered an estimated fair value of stock options calculated based on a Black-Scholes model as well as a percent of company ownership valuation method in determining the level of stock option grants. The percent of company valuation method compares the option equivalent grants made to the executive of the company, as a percentage of the total shares issued and outstanding to those made to similarly-situated executives. The objective is to ensure that the allocation of opportunity between employees and shareholders is consistent with the market as a whole. The Company's philosophy is to establish levels of equity awards based on longer term stock value, and not to significantly adjust equity in response to short-term value fluctuations. Using the Black-Scholes model, stock option grants were positioned closer to the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of the competitive market range while using the percent of company method stock option grants trailed the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of the competitive market. Restricted stock is valued based on a 30-day average closing market price. For fiscal 2010, the targeted total direct compensation of each of the named executive officers was within this 50<sup>th</sup> to 75<sup>th</sup> percentile guideline.

For fiscal 2010 executive pay decisions, the Committee also examined the Company's revenue growth, total shareholder return, net income growth and earnings per share (EPS) relative to the Company's peer companies for fiscal 2009. The information was reviewed on one-year, three-year (compounded) and five-year (compounded) basis. Revenue growth was in the top quartile, total shareholder return and EPS growth were in the third quartile and net income growth was in the second quartile. For the five-year compounded measure, the Company was positioned in the top quartile for all metrics other than net income (which was in the second quartile). For fiscal 2010, the Company continued this strong performance with all four metrics in the top quartile relative to peers.

In determining individual total direct compensation for fiscal 2010, the Committee considered relevant experience level and scope of responsibilities in addition to the performance of each executive. In the case of the CEO, the Committee reviewed and approved proposed strategic goals and corporate financial targets at a meeting held in August 2009. Performance against these goals is monitored and discussed periodically during the year, and results are formally assessed and approved at the August meeting following the end of the fiscal year in conjunction with determining compensation adjustments based on performance. The Committee concluded that Mr. Swoboda had delivered strong financial results in fiscal 2009 and had effectively executed key strategic initiatives, which included meeting business plan revenue goals, building a market leader position in LED lighting, improving overall product gross margins and operating margins and increasing commercial product sales in the Power and RF businesses.

Prior to the Committee's August meeting, our CEO reviews the performance of each executive officer (other than himself) and develops a performance summary and compensation recommendations based on the review for those executives. These recommendations are presented to the Committee and are one factor the Committee considers in making final compensation decisions.

The Committee also considered each officer's responsibilities, individual and Company performance during the prior fiscal year and potential future contributions. These factors affected where a particular executive's compensation fell within the market range. The Committee members also reviewed a three-year tally sheet before they finalized the fiscal 2010 compensation for the CEO. The tally sheet lists the individual elements of compensation and provides an arithmetic value and summary of the individual elements. This summary provides the Committee with a view of the value of the CEO compensation package and assists them in determining appropriate changes for the upcoming fiscal year. Consideration of these factors is necessarily subjective in nature and actual pay decisions involve the subjective discretion of the Committee.

Other external factors the Committee considered included the economic downturn, overall market volatility and the uncertainty about the impact on fiscal 2010 revenue and growth.

#### Base Salary

We provide our named executive officers with base salary to compensate them for services rendered during the fiscal year. Base salary ranges are determined for each executive based on his position and responsibility using the target competitive range data.

In considering whether to set the base salaries of executives within this range or to vary from the target range, the Committee considers the Company's performance, the executive's experience, qualifications and scope of responsibilities, the goals and objectives established for the executive, the executive's performance, and competitive salary practices at peer companies. Further, the Committee considered the portion of each named executive officer's total compensation package that was comprised of fixed compensation (i.e. base salary) and the portion that was comprised of at-risk compensation (i.e. performance based awards) and determined not to increase fixed compensation costs for fiscal 2010. The Company decided not to increase employee base salaries for 2010. Consistent with the treatment of other Cree employees, no executive received a base salary increase in 2010.

### Performance-Based Incentive Compensation

At its August meeting each year, the Committee determines if there will be a performance-based incentive compensation plan in the coming fiscal year and establishes and approves the terms of the plan. In August 2009, the Committee approved the Management Incentive Compensation Plan, or the 2010 Plan. The 2010 Plan provides guidelines for the calculation of cash incentive-based compensation relating to performance in fiscal 2010, subject to Committee oversight and modification.

The participants in the 2010 Plan included the named executive officers (other than the CEO), other senior level managers who report directly to the CEO and other key managers identified by the CEO. Consistent with our compensation philosophy with respect to executive officers, the 2010 Plan is designed to motivate and reward superior performance, to attract and retain outstanding senior managers and to create a strong link between strategic and corporate operating plans and individual performance. Payment under the plan is based on attainment of specific goals, including corporate financial targets.

Target awards under the 2010 Plan were expressed as a percentage of salary and varied by position. The target award for Messrs. Kurtzweil and Kelley was 63% of base salary. This represented an increase of 3% over the prior year as the Company elected to increase the at-risk pay in lieu of any base salary increase in fiscal 2010.

Although the CEO did not participate in the 2010 Plan, he received an economically equivalent opportunity in the form of a performance unit award under the Company's Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan, or the LTIP. Mr. Swoboda's target incentive under the LTIP for fiscal 2009 approximated the market 25<sup>th</sup> percentile and the Committee elected to increase his fiscal 2010 target from 85% to 88% of his base salary, which resulted in the total cash compensation approximating the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of the competitive market. The target award for our CEO under this performance unit award was based solely on the achievement of predetermined corporate financial goals for the fiscal year. The CEO's incentive is comprised solely of annual financial goals due to the Committee's belief that shareholders interests are better served with a longer term focus at the CEO level. These goals were based on (1) meeting or exceeding revenue targets for the fiscal year and (2) meeting or exceeding earnings per share targets for the fiscal year.

Awards under the 2010 Plan have both annual and quarterly components. For our named executive officers other than the CEO, 60% of the award opportunity is related to the achievement of corporate financial goals for the fiscal year. The remaining 40% of the award opportunity is for achieving quarterly goals; half of the quarterly component (or 20% of annual target) is related to individual goals, and half (20% of annual target) is based on quarterly corporate financial goals.

Annual corporate goals under the 2010 Plan, which also serve as the corporate goals under the CEO's performance unit award, were based on (1) meeting or exceeding revenue targets for the fiscal year and (2) meeting or exceeding earnings per share targets for the fiscal year. The Committee sets the minimum, target and maximum levels such that the relative difficulty of achieving the target level is consistent from year to year.

The annual corporate goals, recommended by our CEO, were approved by the Committee in August 2009. The goals for each performance measure for fiscal 2010 were set with regard to minimum, target and maximum levels. The revenue target for fiscal 2010 was set 17% higher than the 2009 actual revenue of \$567.3 million. The EPS target was set at \$0.75 (on a non-GAAP basis), which is 14% higher than the 2009 actual EPS (on a non-GAAP basis). All corporate goals for fiscal 2010 approved under the 2010 Plan far exceeded fiscal 2009 actual results, including the minimum goals required before any payout could be made under the 2010 Plan. The Committee established the following fiscal 2010 annual financial goals:

	<u>Minimum</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
Revenue	\$600M	\$665.8	\$725M
EPS (Non-GAAP)	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.85

The earnings per share, or EPS, goal was established on a non-GAAP basis and excluded amortization of acquired intangibles and stock-based compensation expense, net of tax.

At the beginning of each quarter, the CEO approved individual quarterly goals for the participating named executive officers. The individual goals are weighted in significance, totaling up to 100% of the individual award opportunity for the quarter. Quarterly goals for all participating executive officers include individual performance goals specific to such individual or his or her area of responsibility and a component based on corporate financial goals, in each case as established by the CEO. Mr. Kelley's goals encompassed a wide range of objectives including: expanding the sustaining engineering capabilities, developing an off-shore manufacturing strategy, improving the forecasting process, developing a long term capacity plan and implementing cost reduction plans. Mr. Kurtzweil's goals encompassed a wide range of objectives including: overseeing financial system expansions, streamlining cost accounting systems, shortening the quarterly close process and improving the production planning system. The quarterly performance measures are assessed at the end of each quarter and any corresponding awards are paid to eligible participants following approval by the CEO. The actual awards paid to participants varied with the level of achievement of the corresponding quarterly goals but cannot exceed the level approved by the Committee for 100% achievement.

Payment of the annual portion of all awards under the 2010 Plan was dependent upon the achievement of the corporate financial goals for fiscal 2010. Performance against each financial measure is weighted equally in determining the amount of any annual award payout and the annual award payout percentage will be the average of the percentage of achievement of each measure, rounded to the nearest whole percentage. Named executive officers participating in the 2010 Plan could receive:

- no payment for the annual corporate financial goals unless the minimum performance level for the fiscal year is achieved for at least one goal;
- a payment of at least 30% but less than 100% of the target award opportunity for the annual corporate goals if we achieved or exceeded the minimum performance level but did not achieve the target performance level;
- a payment of at least 100% but less than 150% of the target award opportunity for the corporate goals if we achieved or exceeded the target performance level but did not attain the maximum performance level; and
- a payment of 150% of the target award opportunity for the corporate goals if we achieved or exceeded the maximum performance level.

Following completion of the fiscal year, the Committee assessed the Company's performance against annual corporate financial objectives of the 2010 Plan, comparing the actual fiscal year results to the pre-determined minimum, target and maximum levels for each objective, and a percentage amount for the corporate goals was calculated.

For fiscal 2010, the Company exceeded the maximum goals. The Company's revenue of \$867 million was 30% above the target goal and 20% above the maximum goal. This represents a 53% increase in revenue over fiscal 2009 revenue of \$567 million. The Company delivered EPS on a non-GAAP basis that was 128% above the target and more than double the maximum goal. This resulted in a payout to participating named executive officers of 150% of the target for the annual portion of the 2010 Plan award. As noted above, achievement of the earnings per share targets was determined on a non-GAAP basis.

Participating named executive officers, other than the CEO, also received quarterly incentive payouts under the 2010 Plan based on achievement of individual performance and corporate financial goals. These goals are jointly established each quarter by the executive and CEO and were designed to increase corporate performance by aligning short-term performance to key, measurable business goals that are in turn aligned with the CEO's annual strategic goals. Mr. Kelley's individual MBO performance in fiscal 2010 accounted for 15% of his paid

incentive; Mr. Kurtzweil's individual MBO performance accounted for 14% of his paid incentive. Total amounts paid to named executive officers under the 2010 Plan are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table on page 38 under the "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column.

#### Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation (LTIP)

Equity compensation aligns the executive officers' performance with shareholder interests and provides an opportunity for these officers to increase their stake in the Company. Under the LTIP, the Committee has the authority to grant options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units. For fiscal 2010, the Committee granted options and restricted stock as long-term equity compensation.

When allocating long-term incentives, the Committee, based on competitive market analyses and a desire to emphasize growth over retention, currently targets a greater proportion of the total value to consist of stock options with the remaining portion in the form of restricted stock grants. Stock options only have value to the option holder when the Company's stock price increases above the grant price while the value of restricted shares fluctuates with the stock price and acts as an incentive for retention due to the five-year vesting schedule.

All of the options granted to our named executive officers in fiscal 2010 vest ratably in annual increments over the first three years of the seven-year option term. Vesting ceases upon termination of employment and all unvested shares are forfeited, and exercise rights cease 90 days thereafter, except in the case of death or disability. Vesting accelerates upon death or termination of employment due to disability, and the options may be exercised for a year after death or termination of employment due to disability unless they earlier expire. Prior to the exercise of an option, the holder has no rights as a shareholder with respect to the shares subject to the option, including voting rights and the right to receive dividends or dividend equivalents. Restricted stock awards granted to our named executive officers in fiscal 2010 vest ratably in annual increments over five years from the grant date. Vesting ends upon termination of employment, and all unvested shares of restricted stock are forfeited; however, vesting accelerates upon death or termination of employment due to disability. Under the terms of executive change in control arrangements with Messrs. Swoboda, Kelley and Kurtzweil, vesting of options and restricted stock awarded to them is also accelerated in certain instances involving a change in control as discussed below.

Options are awarded at an exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on Nasdaq on the date of the grant. The Committee may not grant options with an exercise price that is less than the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date. At its August meeting, the Committee approves annual option grants to be made on the first business day of September with an exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on that day.

We award equity grants without regard to any scheduled or anticipated release of material information. We do not accelerate or delay equity grants in response to material information, nor do we delay the disclosure of information due to plans to make equity grants.

Grants to Messrs. Swoboda, Kurtzweil and Kelley under the LTIP were determined at the Committee's regularly scheduled meeting in August 2009 and awarded on the first business day of September 2009. These are reflected as compensation for fiscal 2010 in accordance with applicable reporting requirements in the Summary Compensation Table on page 38 under the "Stock Awards" and "Option Awards" columns and in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on page 39.

In granting equity awards for fiscal 2010, the Committee considered the Company's current and historical performance and the Company's ability to sustain performance over time. The Committee also reviewed the Company's equity usage and assessed its practices against peer companies. Specifically, the Committee considered the Company's three-year average burn rate of 2.3% (measured as a percentage of outstanding shares), which trailed the peer group's 50<sup>th</sup> percentile. The Committee also took into consideration the fact that

the potential dilution from outstanding equity awards approximated the peer group's 50<sup>th</sup> percentile and that the issuances and total equity overhang for the company trails the peer group's 50<sup>th</sup> percentile. Even though performance was strong for fiscal 2009, the Committee decided to maintain equity grants for all executive officers at the same level for fiscal 2010 as fiscal 2009 awards.

Based on overall corporate financial performance and assessment of individual performance, the Committee approved equity grants to Mr. Swoboda of an option grant for 120,000 shares and a restricted stock award for 35,000. The Committee also approved equity grants to Mr. Kelley consisting of a stock option grant for 35,000 shares and a restricted stock award of 6,000 shares. For Mr. Kurtzweil the Committee approved an award consisting of a stock option grant for 30,000 shares and a restricted stock award for 6,000 shares.

#### Other Benefits and Perquisites

In fiscal 2010 the Compensation Committee implemented a voluntary executive physical program for named executive officers. This benefit is intended to encourage named executive officers of the Company to receive regular comprehensive physical examinations, as their future health and well being are important to the success of the Company. Each participant is permitted to voluntarily elect a comprehensive physical examination once every two calendar years until age 50 and once per calendar year thereafter at a facility designated by the Company. There were no additional payments of perquisites to the named officers in 2010.

Our named executive officers participate in our 401(k) retirement plan and receive matching contributions consistent with other participating employees. Such matching contributions for the named executive officers for fiscal 2010 are included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 38 under the "All Other Compensation" column.

In addition, our named executive officers are eligible to participate in other benefit programs available to our employees generally, including health and welfare plans, group term life insurance and our employee stock purchase program.

#### *Post-Termination Arrangements*

##### Change in Control Agreements

In August 2008, the Company entered into change in control agreements with Messrs. Swoboda, Kurtzweil and Kelley that provide for certain payments to each executive in the event his employment is terminated without cause or he resigns for good reason in connection with a change in control of the Company. Additionally, in August 2008, the Committee adopted a Severance Plan for Section 16 Officers, or the Severance Plan, which provides for severance benefits in the event an executive officer is terminated without cause or resigns for good reason not in connection with a change in control. The only Company officers currently eligible to participate in this severance plan are the CEO, COO and CFO. The Company desires to promote the stability and continuity of our senior management by providing executive officers the security of severance benefits under the change in control agreements and the severance plan.

Potential payments to Messrs. Kurtzweil and Kelley under their respective change in control agreements include (i) continued payment of base salary for 12 months following termination, (ii) a lump sum payment equal to 12 multiplied by the monthly COBRA premium in effect at the time of termination for the type of medical, dental and vision coverage elected by the executive under the Cree medical plan, and (iii) accelerated vesting of all unvested stock options, time-vested restricted stock awards and any other equity awards that vest solely based on the passage of time. Potential payments for Mr. Swoboda under his change in control agreement include (i) continued payment of base salary for 24 months following termination, (ii) a lump sum payment of an amount equal to 80% of his base salary prorated for the then-current fiscal year, (iii) a lump sum payment equal to the sum of his earned annual incentive for the two most recently completed fiscal years, (iv) a lump sum payment



equal to 24 multiplied by the monthly COBRA premium in effect at the time of termination for the type of medical, dental and vision coverage elected by Mr. Swoboda under the Cree medical plan, and (v) accelerated vesting of all unvested stock options, restricted stock awards, and other equity awards other than performance units used to pay Mr. Swoboda's annual incentive award. These change in control severance benefits are subject to applicable tax withholdings and statutorily imposed payment terms and require the executive to sign a release of claims. As a condition to receipt of severance benefits, Mr. Swoboda is also required to extend the duration of his non-compete obligation to 24 months.

#### Severance Plan

The Severance Plan is designed to supplement the executive change in control agreements by providing severance benefits in the event of termination without cause or resignation for good reason not in connection with a change in control. Only Company officers who are subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Section 16 Officers, are eligible to participate in the severance plan. Currently, participation in the severance plan is limited to the Company's CEO, COO and CFO.

In the event of termination of the CEO's employment without cause or his resignation for good reason, the CEO shall be entitled to 18 months continuation of base salary and a lump sum payment equal to 18 months of COBRA premiums. All other Section 16 officers are entitled to 12 months continuation of base salary and a lump sum payment equal to 12 months of COBRA premiums. In addition, for any completed performance period, the Section 16 officer will be entitled to receive any amounts he has otherwise earned under his incentive compensation arrangement even though he is no longer employed on the date of payment.

The Severance Plan also provides that if the Section 16 officer becomes generally disabled and his employment is terminated before he becomes eligible for benefits under the Company's long-term disability program or if he elects to resign for good reason because the Company does not restore him to his prior position/level of authority after he returns from long-term disability leave, then he will be entitled to severance benefits under the plan. Severance benefits under the Severance Plan are subject to applicable tax withholdings and statutorily imposed payment terms and require the Section 16 officer to sign a release of claims. The CEO is not required to extend his non-compete period as a condition to receipt of benefits under this plan.

#### *Section 162(m) Treatment Regarding Performance-Based Equity Awards*

The Committee reviews and considers the deductibility of executive compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, which provides that we may not be able to deduct compensation of more than \$1,000,000 that is paid to certain executive officers. Performance-based compensation, within the meaning of Section 162(m), is excluded from this limitation. We seek to structure the performance-based portion of the compensation of our executive officers in a manner that complies with Section 162(m) when we consider it to be in the Company's best interests, taking into account all relevant factors. However, the deductibility of compensation payable to our executive officers is only one among a variety of factors that the Committee may consider in determining appropriate levels or forms of compensation.

#### **Compensation Committee Report**

The Compensation Committee met on August 16, 2010 and reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Thomas H. Werner, Chairman  
Dolph W. von Arx  
Robert A. Ingram

## Summary of Cash and Certain Other Compensation

The following table summarizes the compensation of the Company's chief executive officer and all other persons who served as named executive officers during fiscal 2010.

*Summary Compensation Table*

<b>Name and Principal Position</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Salary (\$)</b>	<b>Bonus (\$)</b>	<b>Stock Awards (\$ (1))</b>	<b>Option Awards (\$ (1))</b>	<b>Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)</b>	<b>All Other Compensation (\$ (2))</b>	<b>Total (\$)</b>
<b>(a)</b>	<b>(b)</b>	<b>(c)</b>	<b>(d)</b>	<b>(e)</b>	<b>(f)</b>	<b>(g)</b>	<b>(i)</b>	<b>(j)</b>
Charles M. Swoboda	2010	\$572,000	—	\$1,256,150	\$1,676,424	\$755,040	\$ 7,150	\$4,266,764
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President (3)	2009	\$572,000	—	\$ 801,500	\$1,155,576	\$320,406	\$ 18,939	\$2,868,421
	2008	\$567,908	—	\$1,071,400	\$ 884,168	\$321,693	\$ 15,084	\$2,860,253
John T. Kurtzweil	2010	\$364,000	—	\$ 215,340	\$ 419,106	\$284,358	\$ 8,567	\$1,291,371
Executive Vice President— Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	2009	\$364,000	—	\$ 137,400	\$ 288,894	\$167,163	\$ 8,514	\$ 965,971
	2008	\$361,396	—	\$ 164,820	\$ 294,723	\$165,038	\$160,820	\$1,146,797
Stephen D. Kelley	2010	\$350,000	—	\$ 215,340	\$ 488,957	\$285,548	\$ 7,520	\$1,347,365
Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	2009	\$301,923	\$90,000	\$ 458,000	\$ 770,384	\$164,934	\$182,190	\$1,967,431

- (1) Amounts listed in columns (e) (for restricted stock awards and performance units) and (f) (for options) represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards granted during the fiscal years shown calculated in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation, or ASC Topic 718, disregarding any forfeiture assumptions. The aggregate grant date fair value is the amount we expect to expense in our financial statements over the award's vesting schedule. See Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2010 for assumptions used in the calculations. There can be no assurance that the ASC Topic 718 grant date fair value amounts will ever be realized. In accordance with current Securities and Exchange Commission disclosure requirements, stock awards and option awards for fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, previously reported as amounts recognized, or "expensed," for the fiscal year, are now being reported above as grant date fair values.
- (2) Represents Company matching contributions to the 401(k) retirement plan. For fiscal 2010, no named executive officer received perquisites and personal benefits valued, in the aggregate, at \$10,000 or more. Therefore, in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission disclosure rules, this column does not reflect the value of the perquisites and personal benefits received for fiscal 2010. Prior year compensation includes relocation payments and miscellaneous medical expenses for Mr. Kelley and payments to Mr. Swoboda for legal fees.
- (3) Pursuant to the terms of the performance units granted to Mr. Swoboda in August 2009, he received a payment of 150% of the target value based on the achievement of certain corporate financial goals in fiscal 2010. The performance units were payable in cash and are reflected in column (g) of this table.

## Grants of Equity and Non-Equity Incentive Awards

The following table provides information about stock options, restricted stock awards, performance units and non-equity incentive awards granted to the named executive officers during fiscal 2010. All stock options, restricted stock awards and performance units were granted under the LTIP, and the non-equity incentive awards were granted under the 2010 Plan.

### *Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal 2010*

Name	Grant Date	Approval Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)			Estimated Possible Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) (2)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) (3)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)				
Charles M. Swoboda	—	—	151,008	503,360	755,040	—	—	—	—	—	—	
	9/1/2009	8/17/2009	—	—	—	—	—	—	35,000	—	\$ 1,256,150	
	9/1/2009	8/17/2009	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	120,000	\$35.89 \$ 1,676,424	
John T. Kurtzweil	—	—	41,278	229,320	298,116	—	—	—	—	—	—	
	9/1/2009	8/17/2009	—	—	—	—	—	—	6,000	—	\$ 215,340	
	9/1/2009	8/17/2009	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	30,000	\$35.89 \$ 419,106	
Stephen D. Kelley	—	—	39,690	220,500	286,650	—	—	—	—	—	—	
	9/1/2009	8/17/2009	—	—	—	—	—	—	6,000	—	\$ 215,340	
	9/1/2009	8/17/2009	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	35,000	\$35.89 \$ 488,957	

- (1) Non-equity incentive plan award amounts represent the threshold, target and maximum amounts payable under the 2010 Plan to Messrs. Kurtzweil and Kelley and under the performance units granted to Mr. Swoboda under the LTIP. The actual amounts earned are disclosed in the “Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation” column of the “Summary Compensation Table.” The threshold payment amounts assume a performance measurement of 0% with respect to the achievement of quarterly goals for Messrs. Kurtzweil and Kelley and a performance measurement of 30% with respect to the achievement of annual corporate goals. The target payment amounts assume a performance measurement of 100% with respect to the achievement of quarterly goals for Messrs. Kurtzweil and Kelley and a performance measurement of 100% with respect to the achievement of annual corporate goals. The maximum payment amounts assume a performance measurement of 100% with respect to the achievement of quarterly goals for Messrs. Kurtzweil and Kelley and a performance measurement of 150% with respect to the achievement of annual corporate goals. The threshold, target and maximum payment amounts under Mr. Swoboda’s performance units assume a performance measurement of 30%, 100% and 150%, respectively, with respect to the achievement of annual corporate goals only. For additional information regarding the 2010 Plan and Mr. Swoboda’s performance units, see “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” above.
- (2) The restricted stock vests in five annual installments commencing on September 1, 2010, provided the recipient continues service as an employee of the Company or related Employer as defined in the LTIP or as a member of the Company’s Board of Directors.
- (3) The nonqualified stock options vest in three annual installments commencing on September 1, 2010. All option grants have a term of seven years.

## Outstanding Equity Awards

The following table provides information about outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers as of June 27, 2010.

### Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year-End

Name	Option Awards (1)				Stock Awards (1)	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$/Sh)	Option Expiration Date (2)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) (3)
Charles M. Swoboda	0	25,000(4)	\$27.47	9/4/2014	99,000(11)	\$6,440,940
	0	80,000(5)	\$22.90	9/2/2015		
	0	120,000(6)	\$35.89	9/1/2016		
John T. Kurtzweil	20,000	0	\$20.50	10/2/2013	22,400(12)	\$1,457,344
	16,667	8,333(4)	\$27.47	9/4/2014		
	10,000	20,000(7)	\$22.90	9/2/2015		
	0	30,000(8)	\$35.89	9/1/2016		
Stephen D. Kelley	0	53,333(9)	\$22.90	9/2/2015	22,000(13)	\$1,431,320
	0	35,000(10)	\$35.89	9/1/2016		

- (1) The options and restricted stock awards listed were granted under the LTIP.
- (2) Each option expires on the earlier of the expiration date shown or 90 days after termination of the recipient's employment, except in cases of death or termination due to a long-term disability.
- (3) Market value of shares that have not vested is based on \$65.06 per share (the closing price of our common stock as reported by Nasdaq on June 25, 2010).
- (4) Vests on September 4, 2010.
- (5) Vests as to 40,000 shares on each of September 2, 2010 and September 2, 2011.
- (6) Vests as to 40,000 shares on each of September 1, 2010, September 1, 2011 and September 1, 2012.
- (7) Vests as to 10,000 shares on each of September 2, 2010 and September 2, 2011.
- (8) Vests as to 10,000 shares on each of September 1, 2010, September 1, 2011 and September 1, 2012.
- (9) Vests as to 26,667 shares on September 2, 2010 and as to 26,666 shares on September 2, 2011.
- (10) Vests as to 11,667 shares on each of September 1, 2010 and September 1, 2011 and as to 11,666 shares on September 1, 2012.
- (11) Awards vest as to 32,000 shares cumulatively on September 1, 2010, as to 26,000 shares cumulatively on September 1, 2011, as to 20,000 shares cumulatively on September 1, 2012, as to 14,000 shares cumulatively on September 1, 2013 and as to 7,000 shares on September 1, 2014.
- (12) Award vests as to 7,600 shares cumulatively on each of September 1, 2010 and September 1, 2011, as to 3,600 shares on September 1, 2012, as to 2,400 shares on September 1, 2013, and as to 1,200 shares on September 1, 2014.
- (13) Awards vest as to 5,200 shares cumulatively on each of September 1, 2010, September 1, 2011 and September 1, 2012 and September 1, 2013 and as to 1,200 shares on September 1, 2014.

## Stock Option Exercises and Vesting of Restricted Stock

The following table provides information about option exercises and vesting of restricted stock held by the named executive officers during fiscal 2010.

### *Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal 2010*

<u>Name</u>	<u>Option Awards</u>		<u>Stock Awards</u>	
	<u>Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)</u>	<u>Value Realized on Exercise (\$)</u>	<u>Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)</u>	<u>Value Realized on Vesting (\$) (1)</u>
Charles M. Swoboda	551,000	\$9,319,956	25,000	\$921,000
John T. Kurtzweil	50,000	\$2,207,603	6,400	\$235,776
Stephen D. Kelley	26,667	\$1,052,008	4,000	\$147,360

- (1) For restricted stock, the value realized on vesting is based on \$36.84 per share (the closing price of our common stock as reported by Nasdaq on August 31, 2009, the trading day preceding the date on which the shares vested).

## Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

We have arrangements with each of Messrs. Swoboda, Kurtzweil and Kelley that provide them with specified benefits if their employment is terminated under certain circumstances, as described below. In addition, our named executive officers participate in various benefit plans that may provide them with acceleration of equity awards or payments under certain circumstances, as described below. In determining the various circumstances that trigger payment or provision of severance benefits to the named executive officers and the payment and benefit levels associated with each circumstance (other than such payments and benefits that are generally available to all employees), the Compensation Committee reviewed severance benefits data derived from proxy materials filed by the Company's compensation peer group. The Compensation Committee utilized this competitive severance benefits data as a check to determine whether each of the proposed severance payments and benefits for the named executive officers was set at an appropriate level for the circumstance that triggers payment or provision of benefits in light of market conditions. The Compensation Committee generally seeks to confirm that the level of each severance payment or benefit for the named executive officers is at or slightly above the median level of comparable payments and benefits offered to similarly situated executives in the compensation peer group. In approving changes in the various circumstances that trigger payment or provision of severance benefits to the named executive officers and the payment and benefit levels associated with each circumstance in August 2008, the Compensation Committee also confirmed with Radford that the proposed severance payment and benefit levels for the named executive officers were consistent with competitive practices in a broader cross-section of the total market.

### *Executive Change in Control Agreement with Mr. Swoboda*

#### *Payments Made Upon Termination Without Cause or Resignation for Good Reason in Connection with a Change in Control*

If Mr. Swoboda's employment is terminated by the Company without cause, but not as a result of his death or long-term disability, or by Mr. Swoboda for good reason, and the termination is in connection with a change in control, then he will receive (i) continued payment of his base salary for 24 months, (ii) a lump sum payment of an amount equal to 80% of his base salary, prorated to the date of termination, (iii) a lump sum payment equal to the sum of Mr. Swoboda's earned annual incentives for the two completed fiscal years immediately preceding the termination date, (iv) a lump sum payment equal to 24 multiplied by the monthly COBRA premium in effect for the type of medical, dental and vision coverage then in effect for Mr. Swoboda and (v) full accelerated vesting with respect to Mr. Swoboda's then outstanding, unvested stock options, restricted stock and other equity awards, other than with respect to any performance units used to pay Mr. Swoboda's annual incentive award, and other than as provided below with respect to equity awards outstanding as of August 18, 2008, the effective date of the change in

control agreement. In addition, if any payment or benefit Mr. Swoboda receives from the Company or any person whose actions result in a change in control would be considered a parachute payment under Section 280G of the Code and the aggregate present value of the parachute payment reduced by any excise tax imposed would be less than three times Mr. Swoboda's "base amount" as defined in Section 280G of the Code, then in lieu of that portion of the payments to which Mr. Swoboda would otherwise be entitled under (i) through (iv) above, Mr. Swoboda will receive a total amount (if any) such that the aggregate present value of the payments is equal to 2.99 times such base amount. This amount will be apportioned and substituted for the amounts that otherwise would have been payable under (i) through (iv) and paid on the same schedule as those amounts.

If Mr. Swoboda is generally disabled and the Company terminates his employment without cause in connection with a change in control prior to the date he is determined to have a long-term disability, then Mr. Swoboda will receive (i) continued payment of his base salary for 24 months and (ii) a lump sum payment equal to 24 multiplied by the monthly COBRA premium in effect for the type of medical, dental and vision coverage then in effect for Mr. Swoboda. If Mr. Swoboda ceases to be generally disabled before his employment is terminated due to a long-term disability, then he will have the right to resign for good reason (if in connection with a change in control) on account of any event or circumstances that occurred while he was generally disabled that would otherwise have constituted good reason (if not cured or consented to by Mr. Swoboda) and will receive these same benefits.

#### *Payments Made Upon Termination Without Cause or Resignation for Good Reason Generally*

If Mr. Swoboda's employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by Mr. Swoboda for good reason, whether or not in connection with a change in control, Mr. Swoboda's right to accelerated vesting of each equity award outstanding as of August 18, 2008 will be determined by reference to the version of the employment agreement between Mr. Swoboda and the Company that was in effect on the grant date of each such award instead of the change in control agreement. One effect of this provision is to grandfather Mr. Swoboda's rights under his prior employment agreement to accelerated vesting of 50% of his unvested equity awards if his employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by Mr. Swoboda for good reason not in connection with a change in control, provided that accelerated vesting under these circumstances would only apply to awards that were outstanding as of August 18, 2008. In addition, if Mr. Swoboda's employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by Mr. Swoboda for good reason under circumstances that would entitle him to severance benefits in connection with a change in control under the change in control agreement but not under either version of his prior employment agreement, then only the equity awards granted on or after August 18, 2008 would be eligible for accelerated vesting as a result of such termination of employment.

#### *Conditions to Payments*

Mr. Swoboda's severance benefits under his change in control agreement are subject to the following conditions: (i) signing and not revoking a release of claims, (ii) nondisparagement of the Company, its officers and directors for a period of 24 months after termination and (iii) compliance with the confidentiality and noncompete restrictions contained in his confidential information agreement, as amended by the change in control agreement, for two years following termination (or one year following termination if the termination relates to Mr. Swoboda being generally disabled as described above).

#### *Definitions*

The terms "cause," "good reason," "change in control" and "in connection with a change in control" are defined in Mr. Swoboda's change in control agreement as follows:

"Cause" means:

- Mr. Swoboda's willful and continued failure to perform the duties and responsibilities of his position that is not corrected within a 30-day correction period that begins upon delivery to him of a written demand for performance from the Board of Directors that describes the basis for the Board of Directors' belief that he has not substantially performed his duties;

- any act of personal dishonesty taken by Mr. Swoboda in connection with his responsibilities as an employee of the Company with the intention or reasonable expectation that such may result in his substantial personal enrichment;
- Mr. Swoboda's conviction of, or plea of *nolo contendere* to, a felony that the Board of Directors reasonably believes has had or will have a material detrimental effect on the Company's reputation or business; or
- Mr. Swoboda materially breaching his confidential information agreement as modified by the change in control agreement, which breach is (if capable of cure) not cured within 30 days after the Company delivers written notice to him of the breach.

“Good reason” generally means (except with respect to Mr. Swoboda's being generally disabled as described above) the occurrence of any of the following without Mr. Swoboda's consent, subject to certain notice and cure provisions:

- a significant reduction of Mr. Swoboda's duties or responsibilities or a change in his position as Chief Executive Officer or President, or the removal of Mr. Swoboda from any of such duties, positions or responsibilities;
- a reduction in Mr. Swoboda's base salary or target annual incentive award level below 80% of base salary other than a one-time reduction that also is applied to substantially all other executive officers of the Company on his recommendation or approval if his reduction is substantially proportionate to, or no greater than, the reduction applied to substantially all other executive officers;
- the Company requiring Mr. Swoboda to report to anyone other than the Board of Directors;
- the Company eliminating from reporting to Mr. Swoboda any position that previously directly reported to him; or
- the Company requiring Mr. Swoboda to relocate his principal place of business or the Company relocating its headquarters, in either case to a facility or location outside of a 35-mile radius from his current principal place of employment.

In addition, except with respect to Mr. Swoboda's being generally disabled as described above, “good reason” also means the occurrence of any of the following without his express written consent in connection with a change in control, subject to certain notice and cure provisions:

- a substantial reduction by the Company of the facilities and perquisites (including office space and location) available to Mr. Swoboda, provided such reduction is not applied to all executive officers of the Company;
- a material reduction in the kind or level of employee benefits to which Mr. Swoboda is entitled (other than a reduction due to application of the rules for eligibility or coverage under any benefit plan or policy) with the result that his overall benefits package is significantly reduced, provided such reduction is not applied to substantially all executive officers of the Company; or
- the failure of the Company to obtain the assumption of the change in control agreement by the Company's successor.

“Change in control” generally means any of the following events:

- any person or group of persons becomes the beneficial owner of 20% or more of the Company's outstanding common stock or the combined voting power of the securities of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of its directors;
- a sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the Company's assets;
- shareholder approval of a definitive agreement or plan to liquidate the Company; or

- a merger or consolidation of the Company with and into another entity, unless immediately following such transaction (1) more than 50% of the members of the governing body of the surviving entity were incumbent directors at the time of execution of the initial agreement providing for such transaction, (2) no person or group of persons is the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 20% or more of the equity interests of the surviving entity or the combined voting power of the equity interests of the surviving entity entitled to vote generally in the election of members of its governing body and (3) more than 50% of the equity interests of the surviving entity and the combined voting power of the equity interests of the surviving entity entitled to vote generally in the election of members of its governing body is beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, by all or substantially all of the individuals and entities who were the beneficial owners of the shares of common stock immediately prior to such transaction in substantially the same proportions as their ownership immediately prior to such transaction.

“In connection with a change in control” means either:

- within the period of time between the commencement of a tender offer or the Company and another party entering into a written agreement that contemplates a transaction, the consummation of either of which would result in a change in control and the occurrence of either the resulting change in control or the termination or expiration of the tender offer or the written agreement without the occurrence of a change in control; or
- within 24 months following a change in control.

*Executive Change in Control Agreement with Messrs. Kurtzweil and Kelley*

*Payments Made Upon Termination Without Cause or Resignation  
for Good Reason in Connection with a Change in Control*

If either Mr. Kurtzweil’s or Mr. Kelley’s employment is terminated by the Company without cause, but not as a result of his death or long-term disability, or by the executive for good reason, and the termination is in connection with a change in control, then he will receive (i) continued payment of his base salary for 12 months, (ii) a lump sum payment equal to 12 multiplied by the monthly COBRA premium in effect for the type of medical, dental and vision coverage then in effect for the executive and (iii) full accelerated vesting with respect to the executive’s then outstanding, unvested stock options, time-vested restricted stock and other equity awards that vest solely based on the passage of time. However, Mr. Kurtzweil’s right to accelerated vesting of each equity award outstanding as of August 18, 2008, the effective date of his change in control agreement, will be determined by reference to his prior severance agreement instead of his change in control agreement. Accordingly, if Mr. Kurtzweil’s employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by Mr. Kurtzweil for good reason under circumstances that would entitle him to severance benefits in connection with a change in control under his change in control agreement but not under his prior severance agreement, then only the equity awards granted on or after August 18, 2008 would be eligible for accelerated vesting as a result of such termination of employment.

If Mr. Kurtzweil or Mr. Kelley is generally disabled and the Company terminates his employment without cause in connection with a change of control prior to the date he is determined to have a long-term disability, then the executive will receive (i) continued payment of his base salary for 12 months and (ii) a lump sum payment equal to 12 multiplied by the monthly COBRA premium in effect for the type of medical, dental and vision coverage then in effect for the executive. If the executive ceases to be generally disabled before his employment is terminated due to a long-term disability, then he will have the right to resign for good reason (if in connection with a change in control) on account of any event or circumstances that occurred while he was generally disabled that would otherwise have constituted good reason (if not cured or consented to by the executive) and will receive these same benefits.



### *Conditions to Payments*

The severance benefits under Messrs. Kurtzweil's and Kelley's change in control agreements are subject to the following conditions: (i) signing and not revoking a release of claims, (ii) nondisparagement of the Company, its officers and directors for a period of 12 months after termination and (iii) compliance with the confidentiality and noncompete restrictions contained in his confidential information agreement for one year following termination.

### *Definitions*

The term "change in control" in Messrs. Kurtzweil's and Kelley's change in control agreements has the same meaning as described above with respect to Mr. Swoboda's change in control agreement. The terms "cause," "good reason" and "in connection with a change in control" are defined in Messrs. Kurtzweil's and Kelley's change in control agreement as follows:

"Cause" means:

- the executive's willful and continued failure to perform the duties and responsibilities of his position that is not corrected after one written warning detailing the concerns and offering him a reasonable period of time to cure;
- any material and willful violation of any federal or state law by the executive in connection with his responsibilities as an employee of the Company;
- any act of personal dishonesty taken by the executive in connection with his responsibilities as an employee of the Company with the intention or reasonable expectation that such may result in his personal enrichment;
- the executive's conviction of, or plea of *nolo contendere* to, or grant of prayer of judgment continued with respect to, a felony that the Board of Directors reasonably believes has had or will have a material detrimental effect on the Company's reputation or business; or
- the executive materially breaching his confidential information agreement, which breach is (if capable of cure) not cured within 30 days after the Company delivers written notice to him of the breach.

"Good reason" generally means (except with respect to the executive's being generally disabled as described above) the occurrence of any of the following, without the executive's consent and not due to cause, within the timeframes specified in the definition of "in connection with a change in control" below, subject to certain notice and cure provisions:

- a material reduction of the executive's authority, duties or responsibilities;
- a material reduction in the executive's base salary other than a one-time reduction that also is applied to substantially all other executive officers of the Company, provided that his reduction is substantially proportionate to the reduction applied to substantially all other executive officers;
- the Company requiring the executive to report to anyone other than the Chief Executive Officer, the Board of Directors or a Committee of the Board; or
- the Company requiring the executive to relocate his principal place of business or the Company relocating its headquarters, in either case to a facility or location outside of a 35-mile radius from his current principal place of employment.

"In connection with a change in control" means either:

- within the period of time between the Company and another party entering into a written agreement that contemplates a transaction the consummation of which would result in a change in control and the occurrence of either the resulting change in control or the termination or expiration of the written agreement without the occurrence of a change in control; or
- within 12 months following a change in control.

## *Severance Plan*

### *Eligibility*

The Severance Plan provides severance benefits in the event of termination of employment without cause or resignation for good reason of the Section 16 Officers. All of the Company's named executive officers are eligible to participate in the Severance Plan. However, the Severance Plan will not apply to a Section 16 Officer if he or she becomes entitled to the payment of severance benefits upon termination of employment in connection with a change in control pursuant to a separate agreement with the Company.

### *Payments Made Upon Termination Without Cause or Resignation for Good Reason*

If a Section 16 Officer's employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by the Section 16 Officer for good reason, except in the event of termination of the Section 16 Officer's employment due to death or long-term disability or in the event such termination of employment is in connection with a change in control and the Officer is entitled to the payment of severance benefits pursuant to a separate agreement with the Company, then the Officer will receive (i) continued payment of the Officer's base salary for 12 months (18 months in the Chief Executive Officer's case), (ii) all incentive compensation amounts that are not yet paid as of the termination date that the Officer is entitled to receive (under the performance units for all Officers and under the Company's Management Incentive Compensation Plan for the Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Operating Officer) on account of satisfaction of the relevant performance measures for the relevant performance period, provided the Officer was employed through the end of the last day of the relevant performance period, and (iii) a lump sum payment equal to 12 (18 in the Chief Executive Officer's case) multiplied by the monthly COBRA premium applicable to the type of medical, dental and vision coverage then in effect for the Officer.

### *Conditions to Payments*

As a condition to the receipt of severance benefits under the Severance Plan, a Section 16 Officer must execute and comply with a release agreement that includes a release of claims against the Company, its affiliates and representatives and a nondisparagement provision.

### *Definitions*

The definition of "cause" in the Severance Plan has the meaning as described above with respect to Messrs. Kurtzweil's and Kelley's change in control agreements. In addition, the events giving rise to a resignation for "good reason" under the Severance Plan are substantially the same as those listed with respect to Messrs. Kurtzweil's and Kelley's change in control agreements.

### *LTIP*

The Company's LTIP provides for potential acceleration of equity awards in the event of a proposed sale of all or substantially all of the assets or stock of the Company, the merger of the Company with or into another corporation such that shareholders of the Company immediately prior to the merger exchange their shares of stock in the Company for cash and/or shares of another entity or any other corporate transaction to which the Compensation Committee deems appropriate. Upon such an event, if the successor corporation does not agree to assume the outstanding equity awards or to substitute equivalent awards, the Compensation Committee has discretion to provide for the participants in the LTIP to have the right to exercise, for a period of 15 days, their stock options or other awards as to all shares, including shares as to which the options or other awards would not otherwise be exercisable (or with respect to restricted stock or stock units, provide that all restrictions will lapse). The stock options or other awards will terminate upon the expiration of the 15-day period to the extent not exercised.

The award agreements under the LTIP provide for accelerated vesting of nonqualified stock options and restricted stock in the event of a participant's death or termination due to a long-term disability.

Under the terms of Messrs. Swoboda's, Kurtzweil's and Kelley's performance units granted under the LTIP, if the executive's employment is terminated due to death or long-term disability before the payment date of the performance units, the executive will be entitled to receive an adjusted payment under the performance units as if he had remained employed through the end of the performance period. In the event there is a change in control (as "change in control" is defined in the executive's change in control agreement described above) during fiscal 2011, the performance measurement for the plan year will be at least 100%. However, Mr. Swoboda would not be entitled to payment under the performance units if there is a change in control and his employment terminates prior to the end of fiscal 2011. If Mr. Swoboda's employment is terminated in connection with a change in control (as defined in his change in control agreement described above) upon or after the end of the performance period but prior to the payment date under his performance units, he will be entitled to payment under his performance units as if he had remained employed through the payment date. If either Mr. Kurtzweil's or Mr. Kelley's employment is terminated in connection with a change in control (as defined in the executive's change in control agreement described above) prior to the end of fiscal 2011 (other than due to death or long-term disability), the executive will be entitled to payment under his performance units as if he had remained employed through the end of the performance period.

#### *Management Incentive Compensation Plan*

Pursuant to the Company's Management Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended in August 2010, or the 2011 Plan, eligible participants generally must be employed by the Company on the last day of the award period in order to be eligible for awards for such award period, except in the case of death or termination due to a long-term disability or in connection with a change in control (as "change in control" is defined in the 2011 Plan). Upon a participant's death or termination due to a long-term disability, the participant will be entitled to receive an adjusted award for any award period in which he or she was employed by the Company as if the participant was employed on the last day of the award period. In the event there is a change in control during fiscal 2011, each participant's performance measurement against individual goals for any quarterly award period ending after the effective date of the change in control will be 100% and the corporate performance measurement for such quarterly award period will be deemed met, and the corporate performance measurement for the plan year will be at least 100%, regardless of whether the participant remains employed through the end of the award period. For fiscal 2011, Messrs. Kurtzweil and Kelley participate in the 2011 Plan only with respect to quarterly awards. If there is a change in control and a participant's employment terminates for any reason (other than death or long-term disability) subsequent to the change in control but prior to the payment date for an award period, the participant will be entitled to receive an award for all award periods for the plan year as if the participant was employed on the last day of the award period.

#### *Amounts of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control*

The following table provides information concerning the estimated payments and benefits that would be provided under the agreements, plans and arrangements described above for each of the named executive officers. Payments and benefits are estimated using the following assumptions: (i) the triggering event took place on June 25, 2010, the last business day of fiscal 2010, or the Trigger Date, (ii) the price per share of the Company's common stock on the Trigger Date was \$65.06, which represents the closing price of the Company's common stock as reported by Nasdaq on such date, (iii) the terms and conditions of any agreements, plans and arrangements in effect as of the date of this proxy statement (as described above) were in effect on and applicable as of the Trigger Date notwithstanding a later approval or execution date, and (iv) all amounts are based on compensation and benefit amounts in effect as of the Trigger Date notwithstanding subsequent changes in such amounts for fiscal 2011. There can be no assurance that a triggering event would produce the same or similar results as those estimated below if such event occurs on any other date or if the actual results differ from the assumptions described herein.

**Potential Payments and Benefits to Named Executive Officers upon  
Termination of Employment or Change in Control**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Triggering Event</u>	<u>Type of Payment/Benefit</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Charles M. Swoboda	Death or termination of employment due to long-term disability	Annual incentive award (1)	\$ 750,891
		Vesting acceleration (100%) (2)	14,253,890
			<u>\$15,004,781</u>
	Change in control (not involving termination of employment)	Annual incentive award (3)	\$ 0
		Vesting acceleration (100%) (4)	14,253,890
			<u>\$14,253,890</u>
	Termination without cause or resignation for good reason not in connection with a change in control (5)	Base salary	\$ 858,000
		COBRA Premiums	23,569
		Vesting acceleration (50%)	1,640,955
			<u>\$ 2,522,524</u>
	Termination without cause or resignation for good reason in connection with a change in control (6)	Base salary	\$ 1,144,000
		Lump sum payment (7)	1,264,643
Vesting acceleration (100%)		14,253,890	
		<u>\$16,662,553</u>	
John T. Kurtzweil	Death or termination of employment due to long-term disability	Quarterly incentive award (8)	\$ 22,428
		Annual incentive award (1)	205,254
		Vesting acceleration (100%) (2)	3,488,881
			<u>\$ 3,716,563</u>
	Change in control (not involving termination of employment)	Quarterly incentive award (9)	\$ 3,439
		Annual incentive award (9)	0
		Vesting acceleration (100%) (4)	3,488,881
			<u>\$ 3,492,320</u>
	Termination without cause or resignation for good reason not in connection with a change in control (10)	Base salary	\$ 364,000
		COBRA premiums	15,712
			<u>\$ 379,712</u>
	Termination without cause or resignation for good reason in connection with a change in control (11)	Base salary	\$ 364,000
Incentive Awards (9), (12)		229,320	
COBRA premiums		15,712	
Vesting acceleration (100%)		3,488,881	
		<u>\$ 4,097,913</u>	
Stephen D. Kelley	Death or termination of employment due to long-term disability	Quarterly incentive award (8)	\$ 21,565
		Annual incentive award (1)	197,360
		Vesting acceleration (100%) (2)	4,700,789
			<u>\$ 4,919,714</u>
	Change in control (not involving termination of employment)	Quarterly incentive award (9)	\$ 275
		Annual incentive award (9)	0
		Vesting acceleration (100%) (4)	4,700,789
			<u>\$ 4,701,064</u>
	Termination without cause or resignation for good reason not in connection with a change in control (10)	Base salary	\$ 350,000
		COBRA premiums	15,712
			<u>\$ 365,712</u>
	Termination without cause or resignation for good reason in connection with a change in control (11)	Base salary	\$ 350,000
Incentive Awards (9), (13)		220,455	
COBRA premiums		15,712	
Vesting acceleration (100%)		4,700,789	
		<u>\$ 5,286,956</u>	

- 
- (1) Based on actual results for performance period using 150% performance measurement prorated to the Trigger Date for the annual incentive portion. Assumes no prior leave of absence in the case of death. In the case of termination due to long-term disability, assuming 180 days prior leave of absence, payment would have been \$377,520 for Mr. Swoboda, \$102,627 for Mr. Kurtzweil and \$99,225 for Mr. Kelley. Actual amount will vary based on performance measurement and the duration of any leave of absence prior to death or termination due to long-term disability.
  - (2) Vesting is automatically accelerated for nonqualified stock options and restricted stock in the event of death or termination of employment due to long-term disability per terms of the award agreements under the LTIP, which terms apply equally to all participants.
  - (3) Mr. Swoboda's performance units provide that the performance measurement for determining his annual incentive award will be no less than 100% if a change in control occurs during the performance period. The amount in the table represents the additional amount Mr. Swoboda would have received as a result of this provision and excludes any amount he would otherwise be entitled to receive based on actual performance results.
  - (4) For options and other awards under the LTIP, if the outstanding awards are not assumed by the successor in connection with a change in control, the Compensation Committee, in its discretion, may accelerate vesting of the outstanding but unvested options and awards. For purposes of this table, it has been assumed that the options and awards were not assumed by the successor and that the Compensation Committee exercised its discretion to the fullest extent possible.
  - (5) The triggering event, along with resulting benefits, is defined in the Severance Plan, except that the right to accelerated vesting of unvested equity awards outstanding on August 18, 2008 is provided in Mr. Swoboda's change in control agreement, and the triggering event and resulting benefits for each such award will be determined by reference to his employment agreement in effect on the date of the grant of such award. The Severance Plan does not provide an independent right to acceleration of unvested equity awards.
  - (6) The triggering event, along with resulting benefits, is defined in Mr. Swoboda's change in control agreement, except that, with respect to accelerated vesting of equity awards outstanding on August 18, 2008, the triggering event and resulting benefits for each award will be determined by reference to Mr. Swoboda's employment agreement in effect on the date of the grant of such award. If Mr. Swoboda was generally disabled and the Company terminated his employment without cause in connection with a change in control prior to the date he was determined to have a long-term disability, or if he ceased to be generally disabled before his employment was terminated due to a long-term disability and he resigned for good reason (in connection with a change in control) on account of any event or circumstances that occurred while he was generally disabled (if not cured or consented to by Mr. Swoboda), then pursuant to his change in control agreement Mr. Swoboda would only be entitled to receive the base salary and COBRA premiums amounts specified for this triggering event, except that, vesting of equity awards outstanding on August 18, 2008 would be accelerated by reference to Mr. Swoboda's employment agreement in effect on the date of the grant of such award resulting in an additional severance benefit of \$2,226,495.
  - (7) Includes lump sum payments in the following amounts: (i) \$453,839, which represents 80% of Mr. Swoboda's base salary prorated to the Trigger Date, (ii) \$779,379, which represents the sum of his annual incentive awards for the previous two fiscal years, and (iii) \$31,425 for 24 months of COBRA premiums.
  - (8) Amount in table is based on actual results for performance period and is payable in the case of death only. In the case of termination due to long-term disability (assuming at least 91 days prior leave of absence), no payment would be due.
  - (9) The 2011 Plan provides that, if a change in control occurs, a participant's performance measurement for all quarterly award periods that end after the effective date of the change in control will be 100%, and the participant does not need to be employed on the last day of the award period in order to receive payment (which would be required if the change in control had not occurred). Messrs. Kurtzweil's and Kelley's

performance units provide that the performance measurement for determining the executive's annual incentive award will be no less than 100% if a change in control occurs during the performance period. In the absence of the change of control provisions in the 2011 Plan and Messrs. Kurtzweil's and Kelley's performance units, neither of the executives would be eligible to receive any quarterly payment under the incentive plans if he was not employed on the last day of the fiscal period. The amounts in the table represent the additional amounts Messrs. Kurtzweil and Kelley would have received as a result of this provision and exclude any amount the executive would otherwise be entitled to receive based on actual performance results.

- (10) The triggering event, along with resulting benefits, is defined in the Severance Plan.
- (11) The triggering event, along with resulting benefits, is defined in Messrs. Kurtzweil and Kelley's change in control agreements, except that, with respect to accelerated vesting of Mr. Kurtzweil's equity awards outstanding on August 18, 2008, the triggering event and resulting benefits for each award will be determined by reference to his severance agreement in effect on the date of the grant of such award, and with respect to incentive awards, Messrs. Kurtzweil's and Kelley's right to payment and the amount of such payment would be determined by the 2011 Plan, with respect to quarterly awards, and by the executive's performance units, with respect to annual awards. If either Mr. Kurtzweil or Mr. Kelley was generally disabled and the Company terminated his employment without cause in connection with a change in control prior to the date he was determined to have a long-term disability, or if he ceased to be generally disabled before his employment was terminated due to a long-term disability and he resigned for good reason (in connection with a change in control) on account of any event or circumstances that occurred while he was generally disabled (if not cured or consented to by the executive), then pursuant to his change in control agreement the executive would only be entitled to receive the base salary and COBRA premiums amounts specified for this triggering event.
- (12) Includes the following amounts: (i) guaranteed quarterly incentive award of 100%, or \$22,932, and (ii) actual results for performance period of \$206,388.
- (13) Includes the following amounts: (i) guaranteed quarterly incentive award of 100%, or \$22,050, and (ii) actual results for performance period of \$198,405.

## DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

### Summary of Cash and Certain Other Compensation

The following table summarizes the annual and long-term compensation of each of the Company's non-employee directors, as well as Dr. Palmour, who served as a director during fiscal 2010.

#### *Director Compensation for Fiscal 2010*

<u>Name</u>	<u>Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)</u>	<u>Stock Awards (\$ (1))</u>	<u>Option Awards (\$ (1))</u>	<u>Total (\$)</u>
Dolph W. von Arx (2)	\$ 60,000	\$179,450	\$ 73,777	\$ 313,227
Clyde R. Hosein (3)	\$ 62,000	\$179,450	\$ 73,777	\$ 315,227
Robert A. Ingram (4)	\$ 50,000	\$179,450	\$ 73,777	\$ 303,227
John W. Palmour, Ph.D. (5)	\$368,321	\$215,340	\$419,106	\$1,002,767
Franco Plastina (6)	\$ 61,000	\$179,450	\$ 73,777	\$ 314,227
Harvey A. Wagner (7)	\$ 81,000	\$179,450	\$ 73,777	\$ 334,227
Thomas H. Werner (8)	\$ 62,000	\$179,450	\$ 73,777	\$ 315,227

- (1) Amounts listed in the Stock Awards and Option Awards columns represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards granted during the fiscal years shown calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 718, disregarding any forfeiture assumptions. With respect to Messrs. von Arx, Hosein, Ingram, Plastina, Wagner and Werner, these amounts relate to the annual grant of 5,000 nonqualified stock options and 5,000 shares of restricted stock on September 1, 2009. With respect to Dr. Palmour, these amounts relate to the annual grant of 30,000 nonqualified stock options and 6,000 shares of restricted stock on September 1, 2009 for his service as an employee. The exercise price of the option grants made on September 1, 2009 is \$35.89, the closing price of our common stock as reported by Nasdaq on the date of grant. The awards were made under the LTIP. For a discussion of the assumptions used to value these awards, see Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 27, 2010.
- (2) As of June 27, 2010, Mr. von Arx had options to purchase 41,000 shares outstanding, of which 38,500 were exercisable. In addition, Mr. von Arx held 5,000 shares of restricted stock that vested on September 1, 2010.
- (3) As of June 27, 2010, Mr. Hosein had options to purchase 23,750 shares outstanding, of which 21,250 were exercisable. In addition, Mr. Hosein held 5,000 shares of restricted stock that vested on September 1, 2010.
- (4) As of June 27, 2010, Mr. Ingram had options to purchase 8,750 shares outstanding, of which 6,250 were exercisable. In addition, Mr. Ingram held 5,000 shares of restricted stock that vested on September 1, 2010. Lastly, Mr. Ingram deferred \$12,500 of the \$50,000 of fees earned in fiscal 2010 into the Deferral Program.
- (5) Dr. Palmour's compensation reflected in this table is for service as an employee of the Company. Dr. Palmour does not receive any additional compensation for his service on the Board of Directors. "Fees Earned or Paid in Cash" represents compensation paid to Dr. Palmour as base salary, bonus, non-equity incentive plan compensation and all other compensation.
- (6) As of June 27, 2010, Mr. Plastina had options to purchase 2,500 shares outstanding, none of which were exercisable. In addition, Mr. Plastina held 5,000 shares of restricted stock that vested on September 1, 2010.
- (7) As of June 27, 2010, Mr. Wagner had options to purchase 48,000 shares outstanding, of which 45,500 were exercisable. In addition, Mr. Wagner held 5,000 shares of restricted stock that vested on September 1, 2010.
- (8) As of June 27, 2010, Mr. Werner had options to purchase 22,500 shares outstanding, of which 20,000 were exercisable. In addition, Mr. Werner held 5,000 shares of restricted stock that vested on September 1, 2010.

## **Summary of Director Compensation Program**

Non-employee directors are compensated for Board of Directors service through a combination of a cash retainer and grants of restricted stock and nonqualified stock options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. The Company also reimburses directors for expenses incurred in serving as a director. Directors who are also employed by the Company are not separately compensated for their service on the Board of Directors.

The Company grants each non-employee director annually an option to purchase 5,000 shares with a quarterly vesting schedule corresponding to the term of service following election as a director at the annual meeting of shareholders. The Company also grants each non-employee director a restricted stock award for 5,000 shares annually which vests on the first anniversary of the grant date. Non-employee directors appointed to fill a vacancy between annual meetings of shareholders are generally granted equity awards in an amount and with vesting terms that correspond to the remaining term of service before the next annual meeting. The exercise price of all option grants is equal to the fair market value on the grant date and the maximum term of the option is seven years. Vesting of the option and restricted stock award is subject to continued service to the Company. The options generally vest during the term of service following election or appointment as a director, vesting in equal increments on the last day of each calendar quarter following election or, if earlier, upon the election of directors at the next annual meeting.

In fiscal 2010, non-employee directors were paid the following quarterly cash retainers: \$8,750 for service as a member of the Board; \$1,250 for service as Lead Independent Director; \$5,000 for service as Audit Committee Chair; \$2,500 for service as Compensation Committee Chair; \$1,250 for service as Governance and Nominations Committee Chair; \$5,000 for service as a member of the Audit Committee; \$2,500 for service as a member of the Compensation Committee; and \$1,250 for service as a member of the Governance and Nominations Committee. Non-employee directors may receive meeting fees of \$1,000 for service as a committee member or \$2,000 for service as committee chair of any additional committee of the Board of Directors that may be formed in the future.

Non-employee directors may elect to participate in the Deferral Program. Under this plan, a participant will receive shares of the Company's common stock in lieu of all or a portion of the quarterly retainer and any meeting fees earned. The number of shares will be determined quarterly by dividing the applicable fees by the fair market value of a share, with fair market value for this purpose defined as the consolidated closing bid price on The NASDAQ Stock Market on the first business day following announcement of financial results for the previous fiscal quarter. A participant in the plan may also choose to defer receipt of the shares until after his or her separation from service as a director. A participant who elects to defer receipt of shares may choose either a lump sum distribution, to be made in any of the first five years after the year of separation from service as a director, or a series of up to five installment distributions ending not later than the fifth year after separation from service. In the event of the death of a participant, either while serving as a director or after separation of service, any deferred distributions will be made within ninety days after the date of death.

## **Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

Messrs. Werner, von Arx, and Ingram served on our Compensation Committee during fiscal 2010. None of these individuals has ever served as an officer or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries, nor were they involved in any related person transaction during fiscal 2010. No interlocking relationships existed during fiscal 2010 between our Board of Directors or Compensation Committee and the board of directors or compensation committee of any other company.



### **PROPOSAL NO. 3 — APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO THE BYLAWS**

The Board of Directors is proposing that the shareholders approve an amendment to the Company's Bylaws that would set the number of directors constituting the Board of Directors to be within a specified range, with the number within that range to be determined by the Board of Directors from time to time. The proposed amendment is described below. The current Bylaws are available through our website at [www.cree.com/investor/corp.asp](http://www.cree.com/investor/corp.asp). The Bylaws are also filed as Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-21154) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 21, 2009, which is available online through the Commission's EDGAR System. In addition, you may request a copy of our current Bylaws by sending a written request to: Director, Investor Relations, Cree, Inc., 4600 Silicon Drive, Durham, North Carolina 27703.

The current Bylaws provide that the size of the Board of Directors is to be determined by the shareholders from time to time. This bylaw appears in the first sentence of Article IV, Section 2, which reads as follows: "The number constituting the board of directors shall be determined from time to time by resolution of the shareholders." Pursuant to this bylaw, the shareholders last set the size of the Board of Directors at nine directors by resolution adopted by the shareholders in 1988.

If the proposed amendment is approved by the shareholders, the first sentence of Article IV, Section 2 of the Bylaws would be deleted and replaced by the following: "The number of directors which shall constitute the board of directors shall be not less than five (5) nor more than nine (9). This range shall not be altered without shareholder approval. The exact number of directors shall be fixed from time to time within such range by resolution of the board of directors." The remainder of Article IV, Section 2 of the Bylaws would not be altered by the amendment.

The amendment would provide the Board of Directors with the flexibility to determine the size of the Board within the pre-determined range fixed by the shareholders. Although the number of directors constituting the Board of Directors was set at nine by shareholder action in 1988, historically fewer than nine directors have served at any one time. Typically, only seven or eight directors have served at any one time, leaving unfilled vacancies. Allowing the Board of Directors to determine the number of directors constituting the Board of Directors would help avoid unnecessary vacancies and permit the Board to determine the number of directors that would best serve the Company from time to time, subject to the limitations of the range set by shareholders in the proposed amendment.

Under North Carolina law, our shareholders are not entitled to appraisal rights with respect to the amendment.

**The Board of Directors recommends  
shareholders vote FOR Proposal No. 3.**

## **PROPOSAL NO. 4 — RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS**

The Audit Committee has reappointed Ernst & Young LLP to audit the consolidated financial statements of the Company for fiscal 2011. Ernst & Young LLP was first engaged as our independent auditors for fiscal 1999 and has served as our independent auditors for each subsequent fiscal year. A representative from Ernst & Young LLP is expected to be present at the 2010 Annual Meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires to do so and is expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Although shareholder ratification of the appointment is not required by law or the Company's Bylaws, the Audit Committee determined that, as a matter of corporate governance, the selection of independent auditors should be submitted to the shareholders for approval. If the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP is not ratified by a majority of the votes cast at the 2010 Annual Meeting, the Audit Committee will consider the appointment of other independent auditors for subsequent fiscal years.

**The Board of Directors recommends  
shareholders vote FOR Proposal No. 4.**

### **Report of the Audit Committee**

The role of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board of Directors in its oversight of the Company's financial reporting process and audits of the Company's financial statements including its internal controls over financial reporting. The full responsibilities of the Audit Committee are described in a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, a copy of which is posted on the Company's website at *www.cree.com*. The management of the Company is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company's financial statements, the Company's accounting and financial reporting principles, and internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The independent auditors are responsible for auditing the Company's financial statements and expressing an opinion as to their conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, as well as expressing opinions on the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls.

In the performance of its oversight function, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management and the independent auditors the audited financial statements, management's assessment and report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls, the independent auditors' attestation report on the Company's internal controls and the processes that support certifications of the Company's financial statements by the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The Audit Committee has also discussed with the independent auditors the matters required by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, Communication with Audit Committees, as currently in effect. In addition, the Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent auditors required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent auditors' communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with the auditors the auditors' independence.

The members of the Audit Committee in carrying out their duties are not engaged in the practice of accounting and do not act as auditors. Members of the Committee rely without independent verification on the information provided to them and on the representations made by management and the independent auditors. Accordingly, the Audit Committee's oversight does not provide an independent basis to determine that management has maintained appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles or appropriate internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The Audit Committee's considerations and discussions referred to above do not assure that the audit of the Company's financial statements has been carried out in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, that the financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or that the Company's auditors are in fact independent.

The Audit Committee routinely meets privately with the Company's internal auditor and the independent auditors.

Based upon the review and discussions described in this report and, subject to the limitations on the role and responsibilities of the Audit Committee referred to above and in the Audit Committee Charter, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2010 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

#### THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Harvey A. Wagner, Chairman  
 Clyde R. Hosein  
 Franco Plastina

#### Independent Auditor Fee Information

The fees of Ernst & Young LLP for the fiscal years shown were as follows:

	<u>Fiscal 2010</u>	<u>Fiscal 2009</u>
Audit Fees	\$ 933,902	\$1,083,751
Audit-Related Fees	125,000	—
Tax Fees	236,641	145,876
All Other Fees	<u>1,721</u>	<u>2,960</u>
Total	\$1,297,264	\$1,232,587

*Audit Fees.* This category includes fees billed for the fiscal year shown for professional services for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements, review of financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, internal controls attestation under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and services that are normally provided by the independent auditors in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the relevant fiscal years.

*Audit-Related Fees.* This category includes fees billed in the fiscal year shown for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audits and reviews of the Company's financial statements and are not reported under the category "Audit Fees." The services comprising the fees disclosed under this category for fiscal 2010 were primarily for due diligence work performed in connection with providing an auditor's consent in connection with our follow-on public offering of common stock in September 2009.

*Tax Fees.* This category includes fees billed in the fiscal year shown for professional services for tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice. The services comprising the fees disclosed under this category for fiscal 2010 and 2009 were primarily related to assistance in responding to Internal Revenue Service audit inquiries of our prior year tax returns and also for ongoing transfer pricing documentation assistance.

*All Other Fees.* This category includes fees billed in the fiscal year shown for products and services provided by Ernst & Young LLP that are not reported in any other category. The services comprising the fees disclosed under this category for fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009 were for training and online research access.

All audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the Company's independent auditors, as well as the fees for such services, must be pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee may delegate to one or more designated members of the Audit Committee the authority to pre-approve audit and permissible non-audit services, provided such pre-approval decisions are reported to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Committee has delegated such authority to the Committee's chairman. Any pre-approval is generally for the current fiscal year, and any pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or category of services. All audit and non-audit services provided by the Company's independent auditors during fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009 were approved by or on behalf of the Company's Audit Committee.

## OTHER MATTERS

### Other Business

Other than the election of directors, approval of the amendment to the LTIP, approval of the amendment to the Bylaws and ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 26, 2011, as described in this proxy statement, the Board of Directors presently knows of no other business to be conducted at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Under the Company's Bylaws, any shareholder desiring to present a proposal for consideration at the meeting, including any director nomination, was required to give the Company written notice of the proposal in accordance with the Bylaws by a certain date. No timely notices meeting the Bylaw requirements have been received. Should any other business properly come before the meeting, the persons named in the accompanying form of proxy may vote the shares represented by the proxy in their discretion, except that under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission the accompanying proxy cannot be voted for more than eight nominees.

### 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, shareholder proposals submitted for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement and form of proxy for the annual meeting to be held in 2011 must be received by the Company not later than May 17, 2011, and must comply with the Commission's rules in other respects.

Other shareholder proposals to be presented at the annual meeting in 2011, including director nominations, must comply with the notice requirements of the Company's Bylaws and be delivered to the Company not later than July 28, 2011, nor earlier than June 28, 2011. Any such proposals should be sent via means that afford proof of delivery to the Secretary at the Company's principal executive offices.

### Procedures for Director Nominations

Under the charter of the Governance and Nominations Committee, the Committee is responsible for identifying and recommending that the Board of Directors select qualified candidates for membership on the Board of Directors. In identifying candidates, the Committee takes into account such factors as it considers appropriate, which may include (a) ensuring that the Board of Directors, as a whole, is composed of individuals with diverse backgrounds and consists of individuals with various and relevant career experience, relevant technical skills, industry knowledge and experience, financial expertise and local or community ties, (b) minimum individual qualifications, including strength of character, mature judgment, familiarity with the Company's business and industry, independence of thought and an ability to work collegially, (c) questions of independence, possible conflicts of interest and whether a candidate has special interests or a specific agenda that would impair his or her ability to effectively represent the interests of all shareholders, (d) the extent to which the candidate would fill a present need on the Board of Directors, and (e) whether the candidate can make sufficient time available to perform the duties of a director. The Committee is also authorized to develop additional policies regarding Board size, composition and member qualification. The Governance and Nominations Committee annually reviews its charter and recommends changes to the Board of Directors for approval.

The Governance and Nominations Committee is responsible for evaluating suggestions concerning possible candidates for election to the Board of Directors submitted to the Company, including those submitted by Board members (including self-nominations) and shareholders. All candidates, including those submitted by shareholders, will be evaluated by the Committee on the same basis as other candidates using the Board of Directors membership criteria described above and in accordance with applicable procedures. The Governance and Nominations Committee annually considers the size, composition and needs of the Board of Directors in light of the criteria above, including diversity of career experience, technical skills and industry background, and accordingly considers and recommends candidates for membership on the Board of Directors. Once candidates have been identified, the Committee will determine whether such candidates meet the minimum qualifications for director nominees.

Any shareholder desiring to present a nomination for consideration by the Governance and Nominations Committee prior to the 2011 Annual Meeting must do so in accordance with the Company's Bylaws. See "2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders" above.

### **Shareholder Communications with Directors**

The Board of Directors, as a matter of policy, desires to facilitate communications between shareholders and directors to assist the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities to all shareholders. To that end the Board of Directors has established a process for use by shareholders who desire to bring matters to the Board's attention. The process is intended to provide shareholders one means of communicating with directors and is not intended to be exclusive.

Any shareholder who desires to send a communication to members of the Board of Directors may submit it either by e-mail addressed to Corporate\_Secretary@Cree.com or by mail addressed to the attention of the Corporate Secretary at Cree, Inc., 4600 Silicon Drive, Durham, North Carolina 27703. All such communications should include the number of shares beneficially owned by the person submitting the communication and his or her mailing address, telephone number and e-mail address, if any. All communications properly submitted under these procedures, except those deemed inappropriate as noted below, will be delivered to all members of the Board of Directors periodically, generally in advance of each regularly scheduled Board of Directors meeting. The Board of Directors has directed that the Secretary not forward communications which (a) are not reasonably related to the business of the Company, (b) concern individual grievances or other interests that are personal to the shareholder submitting the communication and that cannot reasonably be construed to present a matter of concern to shareholders generally or (c) under community standards, contain offensive, scurrilous or abusive content or that advocate engaging in illegal activities. If the Secretary, in his or her judgment, deems a communication inappropriate under the foregoing criteria, it will be returned to the person who submitted it together with a brief explanation of the reason why it has been deemed inappropriate for delivery.

### **Costs of Soliciting Proxies**

The Company will bear the cost of this solicitation, including the preparation, printing and mailing of the proxy statement, proxy card and any additional soliciting materials sent by the Company to shareholders. The Company's directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies personally or by telephone without additional compensation. The Company has engaged The Proxy Advisory Group, LLC to assist in the solicitation of proxies and to provide related advice and informational support for a fee of \$10,000 plus an allowance for the reimbursement of customary disbursements. The Company will also reimburse brokerage firms and other persons representing beneficial owners of shares for reasonable expenses incurred in forwarding proxy soliciting materials to the beneficial owners.

### **Availability of Report on Form 10-K**

**A copy of the Company's report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 27, 2010 (without exhibits), including financial statements, will be furnished without charge to any shareholder whose proxy is solicited hereby upon written request directed to: Director, Investor Relations, Cree, Inc., 4600 Silicon Drive, Durham, North Carolina 27703.**

### **Shareholders Sharing the Same Last Name and Address**

Only one Notice or annual report and proxy statement, as applicable, may be delivered to multiple shareholders sharing an address unless the Company has received contrary instructions from one or more of the shareholders. We will deliver promptly upon written or oral request a separate copy of the Notice or annual report and proxy statement, as applicable, to a shareholder at a shared address to which a single copy was delivered. Requests for additional copies should be directed to the Corporate Secretary by e-mail addressed to

Corporate\_Secretary@Cree.com, by mail addressed to the attention of the Corporate Secretary at Cree, Inc., 4600 Silicon Drive, Durham, North Carolina 27703 or by telephone at (919) 313-5300. Shareholders sharing an address and currently receiving a single copy may contact the Corporate Secretary as described above to request that multiple copies be delivered in future years. Shareholders sharing an address and currently receiving multiple copies may request delivery of a single copy in future years by contacting the Corporate Secretary as described above.

**Principal Executive Offices and Annual Meeting Location**

The Company's principal executive offices are located at 4600 Silicon Drive, Durham, North Carolina 27703, and the main telephone number at that location is (919) 313-5300. The 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders will be held at our offices at 4425 Silicon Drive, Durham, North Carolina 27703, on Tuesday, October 26, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. local time. Requests for directions to the meeting location may be directed to: Director, Investor Relations, Cree, Inc., 4600 Silicon Drive, Durham, North Carolina 27703.

Dated: September 3, 2010

## APPENDIX A

### RADFORD EXECUTIVE SURVEY PARTICIPANTS (\$300M-\$700M REVENUE)

1	ACCURAY	51	EPICOR SOFTWARE
2	ADTRAN	52	EQUINIX
3	ADVENT SOFTWARE	53	EXPONENT
4	AFFYMETRIX	54	EXTREME NETWORKS
5	AIRVANA	55	F5 NETWORKS
6	AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES	56	FINISAR
7	ALIGN TECHNOLOGY	57	FISERV
8	ALLEGRO MICROSYSTEMS	58	FORMFACTOR
9	AMCC	59	FORRESTER RESEARCH
10	ANRITSU	60	FOUNDRY NETWORKS
11	ARGON ST	61	FOX INTERACTIVE MEDIA
12	ARIBA	62	FUJITSU AMERICA MGMT SVS OF AMERICA
13	ARTHROCARE	63	GENENCOR A DANISCO DIVISION
14	ASYST TECHNOLOGIES	64	GSI COMMERCE
15	AVANEX	65	GSI GROUP
16	AVID TECHNOLOGY	66	HARMONIC
17	AXCELIS TECHNOLOGIES	67	HARRIS STRATEX NETWORKS
18	BLUE COAT SYSTEMS	68	HUTCHINSON TECHNOLOGY
19	BOOKHAM TECHNOLOGY	69	HYPERCOM
20	BOWE BELL & HOWELL	70	I2 TECHNOLOGIES
21	BROOKS AUTOMATION	71	IAC SEARCH & MEDIA
22	CABOT MICROELECTRONICS	72	ICF INTERNATIONAL
23	CAE	73	INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES
24	CALAMP	74	INFINERA
25	CARL ZEISS MEDITEC	75	INFOCUS
26	CBSI	76	INFORMATICA
27	CIENA	77	INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY
28	COGNEX	78	INTERMEC
29	COHERENT	79	INTERSIL
30	COMM & POWER INDUSTRIES	80	INTERVOICE
31	CONEXANT SYSTEMS	81	INTERWOVEN
32	COREL	82	INTUITIVE SURGICAL
33	CSG SYSTEMS	83	ION GEOPHYSICAL
34	CUBIC CORPORATION	84	ITG
35	CYMER	85	JAZZ SEMICONDUCTOR A TOWER COMPANY
36	CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR	86	KULICKE AND SOFFA
37	DASSAULT SYSTEMS AMERICAS	87	L-1 IDENTITY SOLUTIONS
38	DEALERTRAK	88	LATTICE SEMICONDUCTOR
39	DIGITAL RIVER	89	LAWSON SOFTWARE
40	DIODES	90	LEAPFROG ENTERPRISES
41	DISNEY INTERACTIVE MEDIA GROUP	91	LTX CREDENCE
42	DOLBY LABORATORIES	92	MACROVISION
43	DOT HILL SYSTEMS	93	MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION
44	EARTHLINK	94	MATTSON TECHNOLOGY
45	ECLIPSYS	95	MEGGITT-USA
46	ELECTRO SCIENTIFIC INDUSTRIES	96	MENTOR GRAPHICS
47	ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING	97	MERCURY COMPUTER SYSTEMS
48	EMS TECHNOLOGIES	98	MERIX
49	EMULEX	99	MICREL SEMICONDUCTOR
50	ENTEGRIS		

100	MICROSEMI	155	SMSC
101	MISYS	156	SOFTCHOICE
102	MOVE.COM	157	SOFTWARE AG
103	MSC.SOFTWARE	158	SOLIDWORKS
104	NATIONAL INSTRUMENTS	159	SONUS NETWORKS
105	NAVTEQ	160	SPACE SYSTEMS/LORAL
106	NDS AMERICAS	161	SPIRENT COMMUNICATIONS
107	NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS	162	SPSS
108	NEUSTAR	163	STANLEY ASSOCIATES
109	NIKON PRECISION	164	STERLING COMMERCE
110	NOVATEL WIRELESS	165	STRYKER ENDOSCOPY
111	NOVELL	166	SUMCO USA PHOENIX
112	NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS	167	SUNPOWER
113	OMNICELL	168	SUREWEST COMMUNICATIONS
114	OMNIVISION TECHNOLOGIES	169	SVB FINANCIAL GROUP
115	OPEN TEXT	170	SYMMETRICOM
116	PEARSON EDUCATION	171	SYNAPTICS
117	PHILIPS LUMILEDS LIGHTING COMPANY	172	SYNVERSE TECHNOLOGIES
118	PLANAR SYSTEMS	173	TEKELEC
119	PLANTRONICS	174	THE PMI GROUP
120	PMC-SIERRA	175	THORATEC
121	POLYCOM	176	TIBCO SOFTWARE
122	POWERWAVE TECHNOLOGIES	177	TIVO
123	PRESSTEK	178	TOKYO ELECTRON US HOLDINGS
124	PROGRESS SOFTWARE	179	TOSHIBA AMERICA BUSINESS SOLUTIONS
125	PROVIDE COMMERCE	180	TOSHIBA AMERICA MEDICAL SYSTEM
126	QAD	181	TRAVELPORT
127	QLOGIC	182	TREE COM
128	QUANTUM	183	TREND MICRO
129	RACKABLE SYSTEMS	184	TRIDENT MICROSYSTEMS
130	RADIANT SYSTEMS	185	TRIQUINT SEMICONDUCTOR
131	RADISYS	186	UBISOFT
132	RAZORFISH	187	ULTRACLEAN TECHNOLOGY
133	RCN	188	UNITED ONLINE
134	REALNETWORKS	189	UNITED ONLINE
135	RED HAT	190	VARIAN
136	REDBACK NETWORKS AN ERICSSON COMPANY	191	VEECO INSTRUMENTS
137	RESMED	192	VERIFONE
138	RF MICRO DEVICES	193	VERIGY
139	RIVERBED TECHNOLOGY	194	VIASAT
140	S1	195	VISHAY- SILICONIX
141	SAGE SOFTWARE	196	VITESSE SEMICONDUCTOR
142	SALESFORCE.COM	197	VONAGE
143	SAVVIS COMMUNICATIONS	198	WAFERTECH
144	SECURE COMPUTING	199	WEBSense
145	SEMTECH	200	WIND RIVER SYSTEMS
146	SGI	201	WMS GAMING
147	SIGMA DESIGNS	202	XEROX INTERNATIONAL PERNERS
148	SILICON IMAGE	203	ZEBRA TECHNOLOGIES
149	SILICON LABORATORIES	204	ZORAN
150	SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY		
151	SIRF TECHNOLOGY		
152	SKILLSOFT		
153	SKYWORKS SOLUTIONS		
154	SMART MODULAR TECHNOLOGIES		



## APPENDIX B

### RADFORD EXECUTIVE SURVEY PARTICIPANTS (SEMICONDUCTOR WITH <\$200M REVENUE)

- 1 ACTEL
- 2 ANADIGICS
- 3 CALIFORNIA MICRO DEVICES
- 4 CIRRUS LOGIC
- 5 EMCORE
- 6 ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS
- 7 EPSON ELECTRONICS AMERICA – SJ
- 8 EXAR
- 9 HI/FN
- 10 IKANOS COMMUNICATIONS
- 11 MICROTUNE
- 12 MINDSPEED TECHNOLOGIES
- 13 MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS
- 14 NETLOGIC MICROSYSTEMS
- 15 PERICOM SEMICONDUCTOR
- 16 PLX TECHNOLOGY
- 17 POLAR SEMICONDUCTOR
- 18 POWER INTEGRATIONS
- 19 QUICKLOGIC
- 20 RAMBUS
- 21 TESSERA TECHNOLOGIES
- 22 TRANSWITCH
- 23 TSMC NORTH AMERICA
- 24 VIRAGE LOGIC
- 25 ZILOG

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## APPENDIX C

### PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO 2004 LONG-TERM INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN

If the proposed amendment to the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan is approved, Section 4.1 of the plan would read in its entirety as follows (not including the footnotes):

#### 4.1 *Aggregate Limits.*

(a) Subject to adjustment as provided in Section 4.3, the aggregate number of Shares which may be issued pursuant to Awards under this Plan is (i) 14,200,000<sup>1</sup> plus (ii) the number of Shares which immediately prior to the Effective Date, were authorized for issuance under the Predecessor Plan and are not thereafter used for awards under the Predecessor Plan. Shares described in clause (ii) above include Shares which, immediately prior to the Effective Date, were authorized for issuance under the Predecessor Plan and either (x) were not subject to then outstanding awards or (y) were subject to then outstanding awards that subsequently expire, are canceled or otherwise terminate unexercised for any reason.

(b) Subject to adjustment as provided in Section 4.3, no more than an aggregate of 2,400,000<sup>2</sup> Shares authorized by subsection (a) may be issued pursuant to Awards of Restricted stock, Stock Units or Performance Units.

(c) If for any reason any Shares awarded or subject to purchase under this Plan are not delivered or purchased, or are reacquired by the Company, for reasons including, but not limited to, a forfeiture of Restricted Stock or a Stock Unit or the termination, expiration or cancellation of an Option, Stock Appreciation Right or Performance Unit, such Shares shall again be available for issuance pursuant to an Award under the Plan, except that Shares with respect to which a Stock Appreciation Right is exercised, and Shares withheld for payment of taxes pursuant to Section 13.2, shall not thereafter be available for issuance under the Plan. The determination of the number of issued Shares that again become available for issuance with respect to grants of Incentive Stock Options pursuant to this Section 4.1 shall be made in accordance with the requirements of Treas. Reg. section 1.422-2(b)(3).

---

<sup>1</sup> Increased from 11,200,000.

<sup>2</sup> Increased from 1,200,000.

